# Case 2:18-cv-00997-JAD-GWF Document 6 Filed 06/07/18 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ALAN J. LEFEBVRE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000848 KOLESAR & LEATHAM 400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Telephone: (702) 362-7800 Facsimile: (702) 362-9472 E-Mail: alefebvre@klnevada.com Attorney for Defendants MARK STEYN & MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US) INC.					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
12	CARY KATZ, an individual,	CASE NO. 2:18-cv-00997-JAD-GWF				
13	Plaintiff,					
14	VS.					
15 16	MARK STEYN, an individual; MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US) INC., a New Hampshire corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,					
17	Defendants.					
18						
19	ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
20	ENTERPRISI	28 (US) INC.				
21	Defendants Mark Steyn ("Steyn") and Mark Steyn Enterprises (US) Inc. ("MSE" and					
22	collectively as "Defendants"), incorrectly named by the Plaintiff as Mark Steyn Enterprises, Inc.,					
23	by and through their attorneys, Kolesar & Leatham, state the following answers and defenses in					
24	response to the Complaint:					
25	1. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the					
26	allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Comp	laint.				
27	2. Admit that MSE is a New Har	mpshire corporation and deny the remaining				
28	allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.					
	2902603 (10424-1) Page	1 of 6				

KOLESAR & LEATHAM 400 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 TEL: (702) 362-7800 / FAX: (702) 362-9472

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.	Admit the all	egations c	ontained ir	Paragraph	3 01	f the (	Compi	laint

- Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint. 4.
- 5. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint set forth legal conclusions, no responsive answer is necessary.
  - 6. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.
- 7. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint set forth legal conclusions, no responsive answer is necessary.
- 8. Defendants restate and incorporate their answers to the preceding allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 7.
- 9. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint and deny the allegations set forth in footnote 1 to Paragraph 9.
- 10. Lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.
- Deny that CRTV is "dedicated to promoting conservative values, patriotism, and 11. liberty" and also deny that "CRTV is home to quality shows hosted by famous personalities." Defendants lack knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 11, however, their past relationship with Plaintiff suggests that his role in CRTV is far more substantial than simply being its "largest investor."
  - Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint. 12.
- 13. Admit that CRTV contracted with Defendants in May 2016, and that Steyn has regularly hosted the Rush Limbaugh Show, appeared on Fox News, and publishes SteynOnline.com[SIC]. Defendants deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the costs associated with CRTV's contractual obligations to the Defendants, and deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 13.
- Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 14, and also note that Plaintiff's false 14. contentions therein were adjudicated in Defendants' favor through a binding AAA arbitration. Defendants filed a petition to confirm the AAA award in New York Supreme Court (Index No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

650887/2018), and Defendants motion to confirm the award was granted by the Hon. Justice Eileen Bransten on April 19, 2018.

- Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, specifically note Plaintiff's 15. failure to properly categorize the prior AAA proceedings as a binding arbitration, and refer the Court to the AAA arbitration award itself for a determination of its contents, construction, and meaning.
- 16. Deny that "CRTV has challenged the award and that confirmation proceedings are pending in the State of New York" as Justice Bransten denied CRTV's motion to vacate on April 25, 2018. While Defendants admit that a judgment has not yet been entered in the New York proceedings, Defendants motion to confirm that award has been granted and a proposed judgment has been submitted, so a final judgment should be entered in a matter of days.
  - 17. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
  - 18. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.
  - Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint. 19.
  - 20. Admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
- Admit that Defendants "have posted and/or written commentary and/or columns 21. and/or essays specifically regarding Nevada" (e.g. the October 1, 2017 mass shooting) and have successfully intervened in a separate case, Cary Katz v. CRTV, LLC, (Index No. A-18-773251-C), as became necessary in order to prevent Plaintiff from fraudulently transferring assets from CRTV to himself in an effort to thwart judgment collection efforts by Defendants with respect to their pending New York judgment. Prior to Defendants' intervention, Plaintiff initiated the Nevada action in order to sue his own company, CRTV, into pseudo-insolvency with the hope that he could cloak any fraudulent conveyances from CRTV to himself with judicial approval.
- Defendants admit that they have made true, non-defamatory statements that 22. correctly describe Plaintiff Katz as "deadbeat," "scofflaw," "dishonorable," "criminal," and characterize Katz's view of Steyn's time in Europe as a "gang rape sabbatical." Defendants also admit that SteynOnline contains a "CRTV Scofflaws & Deadbeats Clock" that allows Steyn's readers to keep track of the amount of time Katz spends in his present attempt to fraudulently

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

misuse the legal system and frustrate Plaintiffs lawful efforts to collect on their AAA award
against CRTV. Defendants deny Plaintiff's legal conclusion that any such statements are
defamatory, deny that "Mr. Katz has never had any criminal record, or other history of acting
unlawfully," and also deny the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of the
Complaint.

- 23. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of the Complaint and note that Plaintiff is terribly confused if he thinks that attempted collection of a debt is extortion.
  - 24. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
  - 25. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of the Complaint.
- 26. Defendants restate and incorporate their answers to the preceding allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 25.
  - 27. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.
  - 28. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
  - Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of the Complaint. 29.
  - 30. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
  - 31. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of the Complaint.
  - 32. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of the Complaint.
  - 33. Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.
  - Deny the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of the Complaint. 34.
  - 35. Any allegation not specifically admitted is deemed to be denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendants deny that they owe Plaintiff any of the relief requested in its prayer for relief and denies that Plaintiff should receive a judgment against Defendants.

### **DEFENSES**

- 1. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state any claim on which relief can be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff's claims are barred because any statements made by Defendants concerning the Plaintiff were true and therefore not defamatory.
- Plaintiff's claims are barred because any statements made by Defendants 3. concerning the Plaintiff were privileged.

## Case 2:18-cv-00997-JAD-GWF Document 6 Filed 06/07/18 Page 5 of 6

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

KOLESAR & LEATHAM 400 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 TEL: (702) 362-7800 / FAX: (702) 362-9472 1

4.	Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of laches.
5.	Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.
6.	Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands.

- 7. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statutes of limitations.
- 8. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because Plaintiff's failed to mitigate their damages.
- 9. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because Defendants' are entitled to a set-off.
- 10. Any harm allegedly sustained by the Plaintiff was the result of his own acts, wrongful conduct, and/or omissions.
- 11. Defendants reserve the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as such time and to such extent as warranted by discovery and the factual developments of the case.

DATED this \_\_\_\_/ day of June, 2018.

KOLESAR & LEATHAM

By

ALAN J. LEFEBVRE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 000848

400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Attorney for Defendants MARK STEYN & MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US) INC.

# Case 2:18-cv-00997-JAD-GWF Document 6 Filed 06/07/18 Page 6 of 6

KOLESAR & LEATHAM	400 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400	Las Vegas, Nevada 89145	TEL: (702) 362-7800 / FAX: (702) 362-9472	

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Kolesar & Leatham, and that on the <u>TH</u> day of June, 2018, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of foregoing ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF MARK STEYN AND MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US) INC. in the following manner:

(ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Pursuant to Rule 5-4 of the Local Rules of Civil Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, the above-referenced document was electronically filed on the date hereof and served through the Notice of Electronic Filing automatically generated by that Court's facilities.

Jeffery A. Bendavid, Esq. Stephanie J. Smith, Esq. MORAN BRANDON BENDAVID MORAN 630 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

> Attorneys for Plaintiff Cary Katz

(UNITED STATES MAIL) By depositing a copy of the above-referenced document for mailing in the United States Mail, first-class postage prepaid, at Las Vegas, Nevada, to the parties listed below at their last-known mailing addresses, on the date above written:

Kathryn E. Stuart, Esq.
Eric M. George, Esq.
BROWN GEORGE ROSS
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Attorneys for Plaintiff Cary Katz

An Employee of Kolesar & Leatham