NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: _	HON. EILEEN BRANSTEN		PART	IAS MOTION 3
		Justice		
		X	INDEX NO.	650887/2018
MARK STEYN, N HILL MEDIA, INC	/ARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US), I C.	NC.,OAK		N/A
	Plaintiff,		MOTION SEQ. NO.	006
	- V -			
CRTV, LLC,				
	Defendant.		DECISION AN	D ORDER
	··	X		
	filed documents, listed by NYSCE 65, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174,			
were read on thi	s motion to/for		MISCELLANEOUS	·

Upon the foregoing documents, it is

ORDERD the Respondent's Motion for a Counter Judgment is DENIED and the

Petitioner's Cross Motion for a Judgement is GRANTED pursuant to the September 13, 2018

record and transcript at Tr. 5:6 - 6:22 (Dolores Hilliard, OCR).

9/ 28 /2018 DATE	_	EILEEN BRANSTEN, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE: APPLICATION: CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	X CASE DISPOSED GRANTED X DENIED SETTLE ORDER INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION GRANTED IN PART OTHER SUBMIT ORDER FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE

COUNTY CLERK AM NEW YORK 10 01 2 018 09:35

INDEX NO. 650887/2018

		1	RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2 Proceedings
	1	2	·
	1	3	Mr. Steyn and MSE would host, and CRTV would fund and produce a television program to be distributed by CRTV,
	2 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVIL TERM: PART - 3	4	
	<pre>3 MARK STEYN, MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US), INC.;</pre>	5	otherwise known as (the "Show"). Petition at paragraph 11. As part of the same transaction embodied in the
-	4 And OAK HILL MEDIA, INC., 5 Petitioners	6	Steyn Agreement, CRTV and Oak Hill Media entered into a
	5 Petitioners INDEX NUMBER: 6 650887/2018	7	letter agreement, dated May 9, 2016. And that is called
	-against-	8	(the "Oak Hill Agreement"), pursuant to which Oak Hill would
	CRTV, LLC, B	9	provide ancillary services related to the Show. And again
	9 Respondents	10	that is the petition at paragraph 15.
	10 60 Centre Street New York, New York 10007	11	On February 8, 2017, CRTV mailed to Mr. Steyn, MSE,
	11 September 13, 2018	12	and Oak Hill which was purported to be a "Notice of
	12 BEFORE: HONORABLE: Eileen Bransten, JSC	13	Termination" of the Steyn Agreement. The Petition at
	13	14	paragraph 17.
	APPEARANCES:	15	On February 20, 2017, CRTV initiated the Demand for
	Fishkin Lucks, LLP 16 Attorneys for Petitioners	16	Arbitration to the American Arbitration Association, which
	One Riverfront Plaza, Suite 410	17	was later amended on March 14, 2017.
	18 BY: MIN C. O'Leary, Esq. DICLES SEEN C. C.	18	As amended, the Demand for Arbitration alleged
	Browne George Ross, LLP 19 Attorneys for Respondent 5 Penn Plaza, 24th Floor CCD 9 & 2019	19	claims against Mr. Steyn and MSE for breach of the Steyn
	5 Penn Plaza, 24th Floor 20 New York, New York 10001 SEP 262013 By: Jeffrey A. Mitchell, Esg.	20	Agreement, civil theft and conversion, and trespass to
	21 Judith R. Cohen, Esq.	21	chattels. Petition at paragraph 18.
	22 LYS SUPREME COULD LIVE	22	Mr. Steyn and MSE answered the Demand on March 22,
	23	23	2017, and raised counterclaims for breach of the Steyn
	27 Delores Hilliard 25 Official Court Reporter	24	Agreement and fraud. Petition at paragraph 19.
	26	25	In addition, Oak Hill asserted a claim for breach
	dh	26	of the Oak Hill Agreement.
	01		dh
-	2		4
-	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
	COURT CLERK: Index Number 650887/2018.	2	The arbitration hearing was conducted on, from
	In the matter of MARK STEYN, et al	3	October 16th through October 26, 2017 in Williston, Vermont.
	versus CRTV, LLC.	4	On February 21st, 2018, the Arbitrator, the
	THE COURT: For Mark Steyn, Mark Steyn Enterprises	5	Honorable Elaine Gordon (retired), rejected each of CRTV's
	US, Incorporated and Oak Hill Media, Incorporated, with the	6	claims and entered the Award in the total amount of
	Fishkin Lucks, LLP I have Erin O'Leary. How are you?	7	\$3,797,427.98 in favor of Mr. Steyn, MSE, and Oak Hill.
	MS.O'LEARY: Good. How are you, your Honor.	8	Petition at paragraph 36.
	THE COURT: For CRTV, LLC. I have from the Browne	9	First, the Arbitrator found that CRTV breached the
	George Ross, LLP firm I have Ms. Cohen. How are you?	10	Steyn Agreement awarding Mr. Steyn and MSE \$1,800,000 in
	MS. COHEN: Good morning, your Honor.	11	damages, plus interest. And that is the same citation,
	THE COURT: And Jeffrey Mitchell. How are you?	12	Petition at paragraph 32.
	MR. MITCHELL: Good morning, your Honor.	13	Second, the Arbitrator awarded Oak Hill \$908,124
	THE COURT: This is Motion Sequence Number 6. And	14	for un-reimbursed expenses and amounts due under the Oak
	it is a motion for Leave to File Counter Judgment. And I'm	15	Hill Agreement, plus interest. Again, the Petition at
	ready to give you my decision on this. I don't need	16	paragraph 33.
•	argument. So, please be seated. And I think that we can do	17	Finally, the Arbitrator awarded Mr. Steyn, MSE and
	it.	18	Oak Hill the attorneys' fees and costs.
	Background. Petitioners Mark Steyn, Mark Steyn	19	On July 20, 2018, this court confirmed the
	Enterprises (US), Incorporated otherwise known as ("MSE"),	20	following aspects of the award.
	and Oak Hill Media, Incorporated otherwise known as ("Oak	21	Awarding Mr. Steyn and MSE \$1,800,000 in damages,
	Hill") brings this petition to confirm an arbitration award,	22	plus interest against the CRTV.
		23	- Awarding Oak Hill Media \$908,124 for unreimbursed
	dated February 21st, 2018, otherwise known as (the "Award").	23	
	dated February 21st, 2018, otherwise known as (the "Award"). On May 9, 2016, Mark Steyn, MSE and Respondent	24	expenses and amounts due and owing under the Oak Hill
	On May 9, 2016, Mark Steyn, MSE and Respondent	24	expenses and amounts due and owing under the Oak Hill

INDEX NO. 650887/2018 NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 09:35 FILED: AM NYSCEF DOC. NO. RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018 191 5 1 Proceedings 1 Proceedings 2 2 administrative expenses. MR. MITCHELL: Yes. 3 3 And modified the award to Steyn, MSE and Oak Hill THE COURT: And you have checked the numbers; 4 by removing the grant for attorneys' fees in the amount of 4 right? 1,012,720. 5 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. 6 Motion Sequence Number 6, which is the Motion for a 6 THE COURT: The numbers are exactly what was 7 Proposed Counter Judgment. 7 awarded; am I correct? The parties were directed to settle a judgment. 8 8 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor. 9 The Petitioner submitted a proposed judgment and 9 THE COURT: So, today's date is the 13th of 10 the Respondent submitted a proposed counter judgment by 10 September. And here's my name and my signature. And this 11 11 bringing this instant action. is what we are going to up-load. But, this is what will be 12 At issue is the Respondent's request to dismiss 12 entered as a judgment, okay. 13 certain claims against non-party Cary Katz during the 13 So, that constitutes the decision and order of the 14 arbitration proceeding. 14 Court. 15 15 It should be noted that the parties have submitted Now, you're going to have to, I'm going to wait on 16 their pre-hearing arbitration papers on the issue. 16 the judgment and wait on the minutes of my decision. Then, 17 It is well settled that judicial review of 17 I will give you the gray sheet. Then, we will do the order. 18 arbitration awards is extremely limited, and an arbitrator's 18 Okay. All right. So, as soon as you can get the minutes 19 award will be upheld and when there is even a barely 19 the better it will be. 20 20 colorable justification for the result, regardless of the MS. O'LEARY: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. 21 21 errors of law or fact. And I cite to Wein & Malkin, LLP MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, may I ask a question 22 versus Helmsley-Spear, Incorporated, 6 N.Y.3d 471 at pages 22 just so I understand? 23 479-480, a 2006 case. 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 24 MR. MITCHELL: The confirmation -- This is simply Accordingly, the court may only disturb an 25 arbitrator's award when it is against strong public policy, 25 for future reference because, obviously, there may be things 26 is irrational, or clearly exceeds the specific and 26 that come up in the future that we need to deal with. dh dh 6 8 1 Proceedinas 1 Proceedings 2 enumerated limitation on the arbitrator's powers. That 2 Is the Court's decision that the decretal 3 cites McIver-Morgan versus Dal Piaz, 108 A.D.3d 47 at page 3 paragraphs of the award say what they say and the Court was 4 51, (1st Department 2013), Affirmed 22 N.Y.3d 1104 (2014). 4 confirming that part of the award? 5 By adding the additional request for dismissal of 5 Because, obviously, there are things that are in 6 claims made against Carl Katz, who was not even a party to 6 the final award and statements concerning evidence and 7 this action, the Respondent is effectively asking the court 7 things like that. 8 to reinterpret the decision of the arbitrator. 8 THE COURT: Let me tell you what usually happens. 9 9 The Court has already confirmed the arbitration Usually, you don't come in with an order to show 10 award made by the Honorable Elaine Gordon and will not 10 cause of that commission to put in a counterclaim. That's 11 reconsider her decision. 11 not what happened. 12 To the extent that the Respondent seeks a further 12 What happens is that the order, the judgment, okay, 13 modification in the award by dismissing the claims against 13 is made. And it says that noticing it to be adopted on such 14 Carl Katz, that question is answered in the negative. 14 and such a date. And the CPLR tells us how long it has to 15 Conclusion, the motion is DENIED. 15 wait. All right. 16 Cross-Motion to Enter Judgment. The Petitioner 16 Now, during that period the other side can put in a 17 moves to have its proposed judgment entered by the court. 17 counter judgment. All right. And it was the counter 18 The proposed judgment very clearly sets down only 18 judgment that the Court then considers. And it goes back to 19 the amounts confirmed by this court in motion sequence 19 the arbitrator's award. And the arbitrator's award is what 20 number 4. 20 is -- The motion sequence number 4 where I confirmed the 21 Therefore, the Cross-Motion for a proposed judgment 21 arbitration award. 22 is hereby GRANTED. 22 Remember, I didn't confirm the attorneys' fees. 2 And I have behind here a proposed judgment, which I 23 Never did I do the attorneys' fees, because that was 24 have read and I checked the numbers. And I'm sure that 24 requesting a million and something plus. I did not confirm 25 everybody else has read it; right? You have read the 25 that. But, everything else was confirmed. 26 proposed judgment? 26 MS. COHEN: Your Honor, with respect to the dh

dh

FI	LED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01	L/20	018 09:35 AM INDEX NO. 650887/203
_	SCEF DOC. NO. 191 9	Ţ	RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/20
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	procedure regarding the judgment we had actually sent your	2	THE COURT: Yes. I don't see anything in here that
3	Honor a letter asking that the Court direct that the	3	is anything different.
⊿	iudgment be settled by a notice of settlement. And before	4	MR. MITCHELL: No, no. See, the issue is, your
	our Honor had an a chance to respond Petitioner submitted,	5	Honor, our concern is the two parts. On page 14 of the
6	just submitted judgment, no notice of settlement.	6	final award where the arbitrator found
7	We were concerned, because we didn't have the	7	THE COURT: Look, that should have been arguments
8	opportunity to be heard. That is why we brought on the	8	made when I confirmed the award. Arguments, I don't know if
9	order to show cause.	9	you made them or didn't, but I made a decision that I'm
10	THE COURT: I did say settle the order, which is	10	confirming the award.
11	notice of settlement.	11	MR. MITCHELL: Right. We agreed, we moved to
12	MS. O'LEARY: May I be heard, your Honor?	12	confirm that part of the award, as well. In our motion we
13	THE COURT: Yes.	13	cross-moved to confirm it. So, in our motion on the award
14	MS. O'LEARY: At the first hearing back in April on	14	we cross-moved to confirm that portion of the award. So,
15	the petition to confirm, your Honor directed that the	15	when the Court That is why the purpose of getting the
16	Petitioner submit a proposed judgment. That to my	16	counter judgment when the Court confirms the arbitration
17	understanding was not effected by the subsequent order in	17	award it was also granting our cross-motion to confirm the
18	June and it has not been my understanding. And it doesn't	18	portion of the award
19	sound like it has been the Defendant's understanding that it	19	THE COURT: Not necessarily. I confirmed their
20	was actually directed to be settled. So, nothing was	20	motion. I didn't confirm the counter judgment. I did not
21	obligated.	21	do that.
22	Petitioner submitted a notice to settle the	22	MR. MITCHELL: No, I'm not talking about the
23	judgment. The Petitioners were directed by the prevailing	23	judgment. Put the judgment aside. I understand the
24	party to submit a proposed judgment. And that is what we	24	judgment awards them money.
25	did.	25	THE COURT: Yes.
26	THE COURT: Let me tell you this, it doesn't really	26	MR. MITCHELL: And the
	dh		dh
-	10		12
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed	2	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them
23	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of	23	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the
2 3 4	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put	2 3 4	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled.
2 3 4 5	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR	2 3 4 5	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do.	2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I	2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 17 18	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct. New York law applies to certain So, to the extent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be ordering them, and I'll then sign the proposed judgment. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct. New York law applies to certain So, to the extent the final award contains other findings or things like that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be ordering them, and I'll then sign the proposed judgment. It won't be proposed it will be the judgment. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 17 18 19 20 21 22 2	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct. New York law applies to certain So, to the extent the final award contains other findings or things like that, he award is confirmed in its entirety without the attorneys	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be ordering them, and I'll then sign the proposed judgment. It won't be proposed it will be the judgment. Okay. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. I understand, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 21 22 24	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct. New York law applies to certain So, to the extent the final award contains other findings or things like that, he award is confirmed in its entirety without the attorneys fees. So that to the extent we have argument that derives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be ordering them, and I'll then sign the proposed judgment. It won't be proposed it will be the judgment. Okay. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. I understand, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 4 15 16 17 8 9 20 1 2 2 2 4 2 5	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct. New York law applies to certain So, to the extent the final award contains other findings or things like that, ne award is confirmed in its entirety without the attorneys fees. So that to the extent we have argument that derives from the language of the final award, that award is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be ordering them, and I'll then sign the proposed judgment. It won't be proposed it will be the judgment. Okay. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. I understand, your Honor. I just wanted to understand the award is confirmed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 21 22 24	Proceedings matter. Because, the bottom line, once that proposed judgment, two, signing it. And any time, I mean, instead of making a motion by order to show cause for permission to put in something it should just be done. That's what the CPLR says to do. MR. MITCHELL: I'm not arguing with your Honor. I just want to understand your Honor's decision. I would at least like to understand the import. Because, for later just for res judicata purposes this may be important to understand. So, with respect to the award, the final award of the arbitrator is confirmed by the Court's decision without the award of attorneys' fees, that's the Court's decision. The final award being the entire document. The judgment itself it's effecting the decretal paragraphs in the award. THE COURT: I asked you, specifically, the decretal paragraphs in the award are reflected in this proposed judgment; am I correct? MR. MITCHELL: Yes, that's correct. New York law applies to certain So, to the extent the final award contains other findings or things like that, he award is confirmed in its entirety without the attorneys fees. So that to the extent we have argument that derives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Proceedings THE COURT: Actually, the arbitration awarded them money. They came to confirm it and I confirmed the arbitration award. And the judgment now is being settled. MR. MITCHELL: And the entirety, my simple clarification, the entirety of the arbitration award has been confirmed. But, not the attorneys fees award, without the attorneys fees award. THE COURT: I think I made that as clear as possible. All right. MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: I mean, I did it once when I confirmed the award. All right. I did it again when I was reading the decision today denying this ridiculous, if I say so, order to show cause I don't think was necessary or proper. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. THE COURT: Anyway, I denied it. And now, I will sign on the date that I put out the denial of this motion, all right, Motion Sequence Number 6. The minutes that I'll be getting, which you will be ordering them, and I'll then sign the proposed judgment. It won't be proposed it will be the judgment. Okay. MR. MITCHELL: I understand. I understand, your Honor.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 09:35 AM

NYS	CEF DOC. NO. 191 13
1	Proceedings
2	THE COURT: You know, you should have been here
3	before. I mean, I did that exactly. Didn't I do that
4	before? Exactly.
	MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: You know, you're trying to relitigate
7	something that has passed, gone, finished.
8	MR. MITCHELL: With all due respect, I'm not trying
9	to relitigate. I'm trying to obtain what the order says.
10	I'm not relitigating.
11	THE COURT: You should have gotten the minutes from
12	the last one and you would have seen. Okay.
13	So, this is it. Please, order the minutes so that
14	I can get going on this.
15	MS. O'LEARY: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.
16	MR. MITCHELL: Thank you, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: All right. Have a good day.
18	***/
19	Certified to be a true and accurate transcription
20	of said stenographic notes.
21	- A Jarow Harmon X-
22	Official Court Reporter
23	l
24	
25	
26	
	dh

INDEX NO. 650887/2018 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 09:35 FILED: AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

\$ 4 \$1,012,720 [1] - 5:5 4 [2] - 6:20, 8:20 **\$1,800,000** [2] - 4:10, 410 [1] - 1:16 4:21 47 [1] - 6:3 \$3,797,427.98 [1] - 4:7 471 [1] - 5:22 **\$76,574.98** [1] - 4:26 479-480 [1] - 5:23 **\$908,124** [2] - 4:13, 4:23 5 0 5 [1] - 1:19 51 [1] - 6:4 07102 [1] - 1:17 6 1 6 [4] - 2:14, 5:6, 5:22, 10001 [1] - 1:20 12:19 10007 [1] - 1:10 **60** [1] - 1:10 108 [1] - 6:3 650887/2018 [2] - 1:6, **11** [1] - 3:4 2:2 **1104** [1] - 6:4 13 [1] - 1:11 8 13th [1] - 7:9 14 [2] - 3:17, 11:5 8[1] - 3:11 15 [1] - 3:10 16th [1] - 4:3 9 17 [1] - 3:14 18 [1] - 3:21 **19** [1] - 3:24 9 [2] - 2:24, 3:7 1st [1] - 6:4 Α 2 A.D.3d [1] - 6:3 20 [2] - 3:15, 4:19 accordingly [1] - 5:24 2006 [1] - 5:23 accurate [1] - 13:19 2013 [1] - 6:4 action [2] - 5:11, 6:7 **2014)** [1] - 6:4 adding [1] - 6:5 2016 [2] - 2:24, 3:7 addition [1] - 3:25 2017 [5] - 3:11, 3:15, additional [1] - 6:5 3:17, 3:23, 4:3 administrative [1] -2018 [4] - 1:11, 2:23, 5:2 4:4, 4:19 adopted [1] - 8:13 21st [2] - 2:23, 4:4 Affirmed [1] - 6:4 22 [2] - 3:22, 6:4 agreed [1] - 11:11 24th [1] - 1:19 Agreement [9] - 2:26, **26** [1] - 4:3 3:6, 3:8, 3:13, 3:20, 3:24, 3:26, 4:10, 3 4:15 agreement [2] - 3:7, 4:25 3 [1] - 1:2 al [1] - 2:3 32 [1] - 4:12 alleged [1] - 3:18 33 [1] - 4:16 amended [2] - 3:17, **36** [1] - 4:8 3:18 American [1] - 3:16

4:24, 6:19 ancillary [1] - 3:9 answered [2] - 3:22, 6:14 anyway [1] - 12:17 APPEARANCES [1] -1:14 applies [1] - 10:21 April [1] - 9:14 arbitration [11] - 2:22, 4:2, 5:14, 5:16, 5:18, 6:9, 8:21, 11:16, 12:2, 12:4, 12:6 Arbitration [3] - 3:16, 3:18 arbitrator [3] - 6:8, 10:13, 11:6 Arbitrator [4] - 4:4, 4:9, 4:13, 4:17 arbitrator's [5] - 5:18, 5:25, 6:2, 8:19 arguing [1] - 10:7 argument [2] - 2:17, 10:24 arguments [2] - 11:7, 11:8 aside [1] - 11:23 aspects [1] - 4:20 asserted [1] - 3:25 Association [1] - 3:16 attorneys [4] ~ 10:23, 12:7, 12:8, 12:26 Attorneys [2] - 1:16, cite [1] - 5:21 1:19 cites [1] - 6:3 attorneys' [5] - 4:18, 5:4, 8:22, 8:23, 10:14 award [37] - 2:22, 4:20, 5:3, 5:19, 5:25, 6:10, 6:13, 8:3, 8:4, 8:6, 8:19, 8:21, 10:12, 10:14, 10:15, 10:16, 10:18, 10:22, 10:23, 10:25, 11:6, 11:8, 11:10, 11:12, 11:13, 11:14, 11:17, 11:18, 12:4, 12:6, 12:7, 12:8, 12:13, 12:25 Award [1] - 4:6 Award") [1] - 2:23 awarded [4] - 4:13, 4:17, 7:7, 12:2 awarding [4] - 4:10, 4:21, 4:23, 4:26 awards [2] - 5:18, 11:24 confirmation [1] -

3:23, 3:25

10:21

8:26

7:24

11:17, 11:20, 12:3

INDEX NO. 650887/2018 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018 1

confirmed [14] - 4:19, В 6:9, 6:19, 8:20, 8:25, 10:13, 10:23, 10:26, background [1] - 2:19 11:8, 11:19, 12:3, barely [1] - 5:19 12:7, 12:12, 12:25 BEFORE [1] - 1:12 confirming [2] - 8:4, behind [1] - 6:23 11:10 better [1] - 7:19 confirms [1] - 11:16 Binding [1] - 2:25 considers [1] - 8:18 bottom [1] - 10:2 constitutes [1] - 7:13 Bransten [1] - 1:12 contains [1] - 10:22 breach [3] - 3:19, conversion [1] - 3:20 correct [4] - 7:7, breached [1] - 4:9 10:19, 10:20, 10:26 bringing [1] - 5:11 costs [1] - 4:18 brings [1] - 2:22 Counter [2] - 2:15, 5:7 brought [1] - 9:8 counter [5] - 5:10, Browne [2] - 1:18, 2:9 8:17, 11:16, 11:20 counterclaim [1] -С 8:10 counterclaims [1] -3:23 Carl [2] - 6:6, 6:14 COUNTY [1] - 1:2 Cary [1] - 5:13 Court [9] - 1:25, 6:9, case [1] - 5:23 7:14, 8:3, 8:18, 9:3, Centre [1] - 1:10 11:15, 11:16, 13:22 certain [2] - 5:13, COURT [27] - 1:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:9, 2:12, 2:14, Certified [1] - 13:19 7:3, 7:6, 7:9, 7:23, chance [1] - 9:5 8:8, 9:10, 9:13, 9:26, chattels [1] - 3:21 10:17, 11:2, 11:7, checked [2] - 6:24, 7:3 11:19, 11:25, 12:2, citation [1] - 4:11 12:9, 12:12, 12:17, 13:2, 13:6, 13:11, 13:17 CIVIL [1] - 1:2 court [5] - 4:19, 5:24, civil [1] - 3:20 6:7, 6:17, 6:19 claim [1] - 3:25 Court's [4] - 8:2, claims [5] - 3:19, 4:6, 10:13, 10:14, 10:26 5:13, 6:6, 6:13 CPLR [2] - 8:14, 10:5 clarification [1] - 12:6 cross [3] - 11:13, clear [1] - 12:9 11:14, 11:17 clearly [2] - 5:26, 6:18 Cross [2] - 6:16, 6:21 CLERK [1] - 2:2 cross-motion [1] -Cohen [2] - 1:21, 2:10 11:17 COHEN [2] - 2:11, Cross-Motion [2] -6:16, 6:21 colorable [1] - 5:20 cross-moved [2] commission [1] - 8:10 11:13, 11:14 concern [1] - 11:5 CRTV [11] - 1:7, 2:9, concerned [1] - 9:7 2:25, 3:2, 3:3, 3:6, concerning [1] - 8:6 3:11, 3:15, 4:9, 4:22 conclusion [1] - 6:15 CRTV's [1] - 4:5 conducted [1] - 4:2 confirm [10] - 2:22, D 1 8:22, 8:24, 9:15, 11:12, 11:13, 11:14,

Dal [1] - 6:3 damages [2] - 4:11, 4:21

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

amount [2] - 4:6, 5:4

amounts [3] - 4:14,

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 09:35 AM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

INDEX NO. 650887/2018

2

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

date [3] - 7:9, 8:14,	1:21	HILL [1] - 1:4	Judith [1] - 1:21	12:16, 12:23, 13:5,
12:18	et [1] - 2:3	Hill [16] - 2:6, 2:21,	July [1] - 4:19	13:8, 13:16
dated [2] - 2:23, 3:7	evidence [1] ~ 8:6	2:22, 3:6, 3:8, 3:12,	June [1] - 9:18	modification [1] - 6:13
deal [1] - 7:26	exactly [3] - 7:6, 13:3,	3:25, 3:26, 4:7, 4:13,	justification [1] - 5:20	modified [1] - 5:3
decision [12] - 2:16,	13:4	4:15, 4:18, 4:23,		money [2] - 11:24,
6:8, 6:11, 7:13, 7:16,	exceeds [1] - 5:26	4:24, 5:3	K	12:3
8:2, 10:8, 10:13,	except [1] - 12:26	Hilliard [1] - 1:24		Morgan [1] - 6:3
10:14, 10:26, 11:9,	expenses [3] - 4:14,	Honor [18] - 2:8, 2:11,		morning [2] - 2:11,
12:14	4:24, 5:2	2:13, 7:8, 7:20, 7:21,	Katz [3] - 5:13, 6:6,	2:13
decretal [3] - 8:2,	extent [3] - 6:12,	8:26, 9:3, 9:5, 9:12,	6:14	Motion [5] - 2:14, 5:6,
10:16, 10:17	10:21, 10:24	9:15, 10:7, 11:5,	known [5] - 2:20, 2:21,	6:16, 6:21, 12:19
Defendant's [1] - 9:19	extremely [1] - 5:18	12:11, 12:24, 13:5,	2:23, 2:25, 3:4	motion [11] - 2:15, 5:6
Delores [1] - 1:24		13:15, 13:16		6:15, 6:19, 8:20,
Demand [3] - 3:15,		Honor's [1] - 10:8	L	10:4, 11:12, 11:13,
	F	Honorable [2] - 4:5,	· · · · · · · ·	1
3:18, 3:22				11:17, 11:20, 12:18
denial [1] - 12:18	fact (1) - 5:21	6:10	language [1] - 10:25	moved [3] - 11:11,
DENIED [1] - 6:15	favor [1] - 4:7	HONORABLE [1] -	last [1] - 13:12	11:13, 11:14
denied [1] - 12:17		1:12	law [2] - 5:21, 10:21	moves [1] - 6:17
denying [1] - 12:14	February [4] - 2:23,	host [1] - 3:2	least [1] - 10:9	MR [19] - 2:13, 7:2,
Department [1] - 6:4	3:11, 3:15, 4:4		Leave [1] - 2:15	7:5, 7:8, 7:21, 7:24,
derives [1] - 10:24	fees [9] - 4:18, 5:4,		letter [2] - 3:7, 9:3	10:7, 10:20, 11:4,
different [1] - 11:3	8:22, 8:23, 10:14,		limitation [1] - 6:2	11:11, 11:22, 11:26,
direct [1] - 9:3	10:24, 12:7, 12:8,			12:5, 12:11, 12:16,
directed [4] - 5:8,	12:26	import [1] - 10:9	limited [1] - 5:18	12:23, 13:5, 13:8,
9:15, 9:20, 9:23	File [1] - 2:15	important [1] - 10:11	line [1] - 10:2	13:16
dismiss [1] ~ 5:12	final [6] - 8:6, 10:12,	INC [2] - 1:3, 1:4	LLC [3] - 1:7, 2:9, 2:25	MS [7] - 2:8, 2:11,
	10:15, 10:22, 10:25,	Incorporated [5] - 2:6,	LLP [5] - 1:15, 1:18,	7:20, 8:26, 9:12,
dismissal [1] - 6:5	11:6	2:20, 2:21, 5:22	2:7, 2:10, 5:21	9:14, 13:15
dismissing [1] - 6:13	finally [1] - 4:17	INDEX [1] - 1:5	load [1] - 7:11	MSE [12] - 2:20, 2:24,
distributed [1] - 3:3	findings [1] - 10:22	Index [1] - 2:2	look [1] - 11:7	1
disturb [1] - 5:24	finished [1] - 13:7	initiated [1] - 3:15	Lucks [2] - 1:15, 2:7	3:2, 3:11, 3:19, 3:22
document [1] - 10:15	firm [1] - 2:10	instant [1] - 5:11		4:7, 4:10, 4:17, 4:21
done [1] - 10:5		instead [1] - 10:3	Μ	4:26, 5:3
down [1] - 6:18	first [2] - 4:9, 9:14			
due [3] - 4:14, 4:24,	Fishkin [2] - 1:15, 2:7	interest [4] - 4:11,	:	N
13:8	Floor [1] - 1:19	4:15, 4:22, 4:25	mailed [1] - 3:11	· · · · · ·
during [2] - 5:13, 8:16	following [1] - 4:20	irrational [1] - 5:26	Malkin [1] - 5:21	
a a a a g [2] b a a b ; b a b	fraud [1] - 3:24	i ssue [3] - 5:12, 5:16,	March [2] - 3:17, 3:22	N.Y.3d [2] - 5:22, 6:4
E	fund [1] - 3:2	11:4	Mark [6] - 2:5, 2:19,	name [1] - 7:10
Ε	future [2] - 7:25, 7:26	itself [1] - 10:16	2:24, 4:26	necessarily [1] -
	i .	• • • •		11:19
effected [1] - 9:17	G	J	MARK [2] - 1:3	necessary [1] - 12:15
effecting [1] - 10:16		• • • • •	matter [2] - 2:3, 10:2	need [2] - 2:16, 7:26
effectively [1] - 6:7			Mclver [1] - 6:3	negative [1] - 6:14
• • •	George [2] - 1:18,	Jeffrey [2] - 1:20, 2:12	McIver-Morgan [1] -	never [1] - 8:23
Eileen [1] - 1:12	2:10	Jersey [1] - 1:17	6:3	NEW [2] - 1.2, 1.2
Elaine [2] - 4:5, 6:10	Gordon [2] - 4:5, 6:10	JSC [1] - 1:12	mean [3] - 10:3, 12:12,	New [6] - 1:10, 1:17,
embodied [1] - 3:5	grant [1] - 5:4	judgment [30] - 5:8,	13:3	1:20, 10:21
Enter [1] - 6:16	GRANTED [1] - 6:22	5:9, 5:10, 6:17, 6:18,	Media [4] - 2:6, 2:21,	
entered [5] - 2:25, 3:6,	granting [1] - 11:17	6:21, 6:23, 6:26,	3:6, 4:23	Newark [1] - 1:17
4:6, 6:17, 7:12		7:12, 7:16, 8:12,	MEDIA [1] - 1:4	non [1] - 5:13
ENTERPRISES [1] -	gray [1] - 7:17	8:17, 8:18, 9:2, 9:4,	million [1] - 8:24	non-party [1] - 5:13
1:3	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	9:6, 9:16, 9:23, 9:24,	minutes [5] - 7:16,	noted [1] - 5:15
Enterprises [2] - 2:5,	H H		7:18, 12:20, 13:11,	notes [1] - 13:20
2:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10:3, 10:15, 10:19,	13:13	nothing [1] - 9:20
entire [1] - 10:15	heard [2] - 9:8, 9:12	11:16, 11:20, 11:23,		Notice [1] - 3:12
entirety [3] - 10:23,		11:24, 12:4, 12:21, 12:22	• Mitchell [2] - 1:20,	notice [4] - 9:4, 9:6,
12:5, 12:6	hearing [3] - 4:2, 5:16,	12:22	2:12	9:11, 9:22
	9:14	Judgment [3] - 2:15,	MITCHELL [19] - 2:13,	noticing [1] - 8:13
anumorated in GO	Helmsley [1] - 5:22	5:7, 6:16	7:2, 7:5, 7:8, 7:21,	Number [4] - 2:2,
enumerated [1] - 6:2			7.74 10.7 10.20	
Erin [2] - 1:17, 2:7	Helmsley-Spear [1] -	judicata [1] - 10:10	7:24, 10:7, 10:20,	
	Helmsley-Spear [1] - 5:22 hereby [1] - 6:22	judicata [1] - 10:10 judicial [1] - 5:17	11:4, 11:11, 11:22, 11:26, 12:5, 12:11,	2:14, 5:6, 12:19 number [2] - 6:20,

09/24/2018 03:33:06 PM

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 10/01/2018 09:35 AM INDEX NO. 650887/2018

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 191

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 10/01/2018

8:20	petition [6] - 2:22, 3:4,	13:9	sound [1] - 9:19	unreimbursed [1] -
NUMBER [1] - 1:5	3:10, 3:24, 4:8, 9:15	relitigating [1] - 13:10	Spear [1] - 5:22	4:23
numbers [3] - 6:24,	Petition [4] - 3:13,	remember [1] - 8:22	specific [1] - 5:26	up [2] - 7:11, 7:26
7:3, 7:6	3:21, 4:12, 4:15	removing [1] - 5:4	specifically [1] - 10:17	up-load [1] - 7:11
	Petitioner [4] - 5:9,	Reporter [2] - 1:25,	STATE [1] - 1:2	upheld [1] - 5:19
0	6:16, 9:5, 9:16	13:22	statements [1] - 8:6	US [3] - 1:3, 2:6, 2:20
Ŭ	petitioner [1] - 9:22	request [2] - 5:12, 6:5	stenographic [1] -	
	Petitioners [3] - 1:5,	requesting [1] - 8:24	13:20	V
D'LEARY [5] - 2:8,	1:16, 9:23	res [1] - 10:10	Steyn [21] - 2:5, 2:19,	V .
7:20, 9:12, 9:14,	petitioners [1] - 2:19	respect [3] - 8:26,		
13:15	Piaz [1] - 6:3		2:24, 2:26, 3:2, 3:6,	Vermont [1] - 4:3
D'Leary [2] - 1:17, 2:7	Plaza [2] - 1:16, 1:19	10:12, 13:8	3:11, 3:13, 3:19,	versus [3] - 2:4, 5:22,
Dak [16] - 2:6, 2:21,		respond [1] - 9:5	3:22, 3:23, 4:7, 4:10,	6:3
3:6, 3:8, 3:12, 3:25,	plus [5] - 4:11, 4:15,	Respondent [5] -	4:17, 4:21, 4:26, 5:3	
3:26, 4:7, 4:13, 4:14,	4:22, 4:25, 8:24	1:19, 2:24, 5:10, 6:7,	STEYN [2] - 1:3	W
4:18, 4:23, 4:24, 5:3	policy [1] - 5:25	6:12	Street [1] - 1:10	
DAK [1] - 1:4	portion [2] - 11:14,	Respondent's [1] -	strong [1] - 5:25	
obligated [1] - 9:21	11:18	5:12	submit [2] - 9:16, 9:24	wait [3] - 7:15, 7:16,
obtain [1] - 13:9	possible [1] - 12:10	Respondents [1] - 1:9	submitted [6] - 5:9,	8:15
obviously [2] - 7:25,	powers [1] - 6:2	result [1] - 5:20	5:10, 5:15, 9:5, 9:6,	Wein [1] - 5:21
8:5	pre [1] - 5:16	retired [1] - 4:5	9:22	whereby [1] - 2:26
	pre-hearing [1] - 5:16	review [1] ~ 5:17	subsequent [1] - 9:17	Williston [1] - 4:3
October [2] - 4:3	prevailing [1] - 9:23	ridiculous [1] - 12:14	Suite [1] - 1:16	•• •
DF [3] - 1:2, 1:2	procedure [1] - 9:2	Riverfront [1] - 1:16	SUPREME [1] - 1:2	Y
Official [2] - 1:25,	proceeding [1] - 5:14	Ross [2] - 1:18, 2:10		• • • • • • • • • • • • •
13:22	produce [1] - 3:3		Т	
once [2] - 10:2, 12:12	program [1] - 3:3	S	• •	YORK [2] - 1:2, 1:2
one [1] - 13:12	proper [1] - 12:15			York [5] - 1:10, 1:20,
One [1] - 1:16	Proposed [1] - 5:7		television [1] - 3:3	10:21
opportunity [1] - 9:8	proposed [13] - 5:9,	seated [1] - 2:17	TERM [1] - 1:2	
order [11] - 7:13, 7:17,		second [1] - 4:13	Term [1] - 2:26	
8:9, 8:12, 9:9, 9:10,	5:10, 6:17, 6:18, 6:21, 6:22, 6:26	see [2] - 11:2, 11:4	Termination [1] - 3:13	
9:17, 10:4, 12:15,	6:21, 6:23, 6:26,	seeks [1] - 6:12	THE [26] - 1:2, 2:5,	
13:9, 13:13	9:16, 9:24, 10:2,	sent [1] - 9:2	2:9, 2:12, 2:14, 7:3,	
ordering [1] - 12:21	10:18, 12:21, 12:22	September [2] - 1:11,	7:6, 7:9, 7:23, 8:8,	
otherwise [5] - 2:20,	provide [1] - 3:9	7:10	9:10, 9:13, 9:26,	
2:21, 2:23, 2:25, 3:4	public [1] - 5:25	sequence [2] - 6:19,	10:17, 11:2, 11:7,	
owing [1] - 4:24	purported [1] - 3:12	8:20	11:19, 11:25, 12:2,	
	purpose [1] - 11:15	Sequence [3] - 2:14,	12:9, 12:12, 12:17,	
Р	purposes [1] - 10:10	•	13:2, 13:6, 13:11,	
F	pursuant [1] - 3:8	5:6, 12:19	13:17	1
	⊧ put [5] - 8:10, 8:16,	services [1] - 3:9		
page [2] - 6:3, 11:5	10:4, 11:23, 12:18	sets [1] - 6:18	theft [1] - 3:20	
pages [1] - 5:22		settle [3] - 5:8, 9:10,	• therefore [1] - 6:21	
papers [1] - 5:16	R	9:22	today [1] - 12:14	
paragraph [8] - 3:4,		settled [4] - 5:17, 9:4,	today's [1] - 7:9	
3:10, 3:14, 3:21,		9:20, 12:4	total [1] - 4:6	
3:24, 4:8, 4:12, 4:16	raised [1] - 3:23	settlement [3] - 9:4,	transaction [1] - 3:5	
	read [3] - 6:24, 6:25	9:6, 9:11	transcription [1] -	
paragraphs [3] - 8:3,	reading [1] - 12:13	sheet [1] - 7:17	13:19	
10:16, 10:18	ready [1] - 2:16	Sheet [1] - 2:26	trespass [1] - 3:20	
part [3] - 3:5, 8:4,	really [1] - 9:26	show [4] - 8:9, 9:9,	true [1] - 13:19	·
11:12	reconsider [1] - 6:11	10:4, 12:15	trying [3] - 13:6, 13:8,	
PART [1] - 1:2	reference [1] - 7:25	Show [1] - 3:9	13:9	
parties [2] - 5:8, 5:15	reflected [1] - 10:18	Show") [1] - 3:4	two [2] - 10:3, 11:5	
p arts [1] - 11:5	regarding [1] - 9:2	side [1] - 8:16	• • • • • • • • •	
p arty [3] - 5:13, 6:6,		sign [2] - 12:18, 12:21	U	
9:24	regardless [1] - 5:20			
passed [1] - 13:7	reimbursed [1] - 4:14	signature [1] - 7:10		
Penn [1] - 1:19	reinterpret [1] - 6:8	signing [1] - 10:3	un-reimbursed [1] -	
period [1] - 8:16	rejected [1] - 4:5	simple [1] - 12:5	4:14	
permission [1] - 10:4	related [1] - 3:9	simply [1] - 7:24	under [2] - 4:14, 4:24	
	relitigate [2] - 13:6,	soon [1] - 7:18		

7 of 7 sheets