

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
<b><i>Statements Specifically Referenced and Unresolved During the Prior Arbitration</i></b>							
1.	03/09/17	First Period	“Mark is very thankful for all your emails, especially given CRTV boss Cary Katz’s weirdly vicious efforts to destroy him completely”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “Steyn on the Howie Carr Show”	Defamation  Disparagement	OIDF
2.	03/09/17	First Period	“It’s very scary to be on the receiving end of an assault by an obsessive billionaire with unlimited resources.” <sup>3</sup>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Steyn on the Howie Carr Show”	Defamation  Disparagement	OIDF
3.	03/08/17	First Period	“CRTV reneged on its contractual obligation[s]...”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Fun for Young and Old”	Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact
4.	03/08/17	First Period	“CRTV is headed for a dung heap.” (quoting reader email)	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Fun for	Defamation	OIDF

<sup>1</sup> **First Period** includes acts disparagement and defamation beginning in late February 2017 and continuing through the end of March 2017. **Second Period** includes the same for the time frame January 21, 2018 and continued through the date CRTV filed its demand in this proceeding, April 26, 2018. **Third Period** is April 27, 2018 through the present day.

<sup>2</sup> (“OIDF”)

<sup>3</sup> This is a new entry that was not previously on Claimant’s August 28, 2018 submission. The record citation is CRTV’s Opening Post-hearing brief, at p. 36:5-6.

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
					Young and Old”	Disparagement	
5.	03/06/18	First Period	“CRTV is dead to me...” (quoting reader email)	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Silent Cal and Tweeting Don”	Disparagement	
6.	03/05/17	First Period	“On Monday Mark was fired and his TV show canceled by CRTV, who issued one of those bland statements no sentient creature believes a word of.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “A Sennight of Steyn February 27- March 5”  Facebook link @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact
7.	03/04/17	First Period	Article referenced and introduced into evidence but statements were not specifically discussed in prior proceeding. Tweet was: “Since you ask, @CRTV haven’t paid a dime & they’ve left us holding the bag for February payroll and production costs”	Mark Steyn Twitter republished in Salon article.	Salon Article	Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact
8.	03/03/17	First Period	“Mark...feels more ashamed at having helped get so many longtime fans suckered into believing CRTV’s false promises of a year’s worth of shows.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Doing Things By Half”	Defamation  Disparagement	OIDF

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
					Facebook link @MarkSteyn Online		
9.	03/01/17	First Period	"Since you ask, @CRTV haven't paid a dime & they've left us holding the bag for February payroll and production costs"	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online and republished in Salon article	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact
10.	02/22/17	First Period	Binding Term Sheet placed in public docket, unsealed, despite confidentiality provision.	Mark Steyn and Mark Steyn Enterprises	United States District Court, District of Vermont Docket	Confidentiality	
11.	Various/ Unknown Dates	First Period	From arbitration hearing examination of Mark Steyn: "Q. You refer to Cary Katz as a Las Vegas gangster, correct? A. Yes."	Mark Steyn	Unknown	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact, OIDF
12.	Various Dates	First Period	CRTV requested information as to the following articles, no statements were referenced:  "February 28, 2017, post entitled, 'The Lameness of Labels';  March 1, 2017, post entitled, 'Obama's Composite Jihadist and Imaginary ISIS Leader';	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline	See Below with Respect to Each Statement contained in the articles.	See Below with Respect to Each Statement contained in the articles.

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			<p>March 2, 2017, post entitled, 'Of Donald and Winston';</p> <p>March 3, 2017, post entitled, 'Doing Things By Half';</p> <p>March 5, 2017, post entitled, 'Something is Rotten in the State of Sweden';</p> <p>March 5, 2017, post entitled, 'A Se'nnight of Steyn, February 27-March 5';</p> <p>March 6, 2017, post entitled, 'Silent Cal and Tweeting Don';</p> <p>March 8, 2017, post entitled, 'Fun for Young and Old';</p> <p>March 9, 2017, post entitled, 'Steyn Live! Where We're Headed';</p> <p>March 9, 2017, post entitled, 'Steyn on The Howie Carr Show';</p> <p>March 13, 2017, post entitled, 'The Interrogator';</p> <p>March 16, 2017, post entitled, 'Deep and Deeper';</p> <p>March 20, 2017, post entitled, 'Suicide by Virtue Signaling';</p>				

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			March 27, 2017, post entitled, 'Mad and Magyar.'"				
<b><i>Statements Not at Issue During the Prior Arbitration</i></b>							
13.	02/24/17	First Period	Tweet in response to CRTV telling a subscriber "Thank you for your patience," Mark Steyn tweets: "@CRTV @PostalHolly I think you'll find patience is running out. You might want to look into that."	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	
14.	02/28/17	First Period	In response to CRTV tweet regarding the show ending. "@CRTV not true. Other side of the story coming in U.S District Court next Monday" and "Too kind, Kelly, but much appreciated. I should've got a clue when I got there & found the set furniture had been cancelled"	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
15.	02/28/17	First Period	"Now that CRTV has fired Mark and canceled The Mark Steyn Show, we thought you might enjoy this final CRTV SteynPost from yesterday, filmed just an hour or two before Cary Katz announced that 'CRTV's contract with Mark Steyn Enterprises has ended' ie, they ended it, by tearing it up over four years before it was due to expire."	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "The Lameness of Labels"  Facebook link @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	
16.	Date unknown	First Period	"@MarkSteynOnline just found out The Steyn Show was cancelled on CRTV. Shame. I guess I'll be letting my CRTV subscription expire..."	Benjamin Mullikin (Retweet by Mark Steyn)	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
17.	Date unknown	First Period	“@MichaelPStewart @MarkSteynOnline @CRTV Cancel and get your money back. This seems like a bait-and-switch to me. Very disappointing.”	@BueyOBuey “Boy [emoji] Boy” (Retweet by Mark Steyn)	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
18.	Date unknown	First Period	“you know, @crtv really should stop trying to get subscribers based on @marksteynonline’s good name”	@worst “the worst dude” (Retweet by Mark Steyn)	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
19.	03/01/17	First Period	“Two days after CRTV fired Mark and canceled <i>The Mark Steyn Show</i> , he rather appreciated finding himself back on real television.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Obama Composite Jihadist and Imaginary Isis Leader”  Facebook link @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
20.	03/03/17	First Period	ReTweet: “CRTV didn’t start refunding until they were pressured”	Greg Pennington @jgregpenn (retweet by Mark Steyn)	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
21.	03/03/17	First Period	“@MarkSteynOnline Disappointed you’re no longer on CRTV. Subscription cancelled.”	Blake Berryman @BlakeBerrymanAZ (retweet by Mark Steyn)	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	
22.	03/03/17	First Period	“When CRTV fired Mark and broke his contract, they left him with the payroll and production costs for all February’s shows, including episodes filmed in Phoenix and Ottawa – and even the tab for the cleaners at the studio they locked him out of. Mark has paid all these because he doesn’t feel others should suffer as a result of his poor judgment in getting mixed up with CRTV. But nor does he want disgruntled CRTV customers feeling obliged to prop up SteynOnline.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Doing Things By Half”	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
23.	03/04/17	First Period	“@2much_k_ozz Ask a simple question, get a straight answer. @crtv fired me and killed the show. See here – facebook.com/CRTV/posts/133...”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
24.	03/04/17	First Period	“When CRTV abruptly canceled Mark’s TV show and locked him out of the studio after a mere six weeks, they upset many subscribers who’d paid for a year’s worth of shows. But they also left Mark holding the bag for February’s payroll and production costs.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: The Steyn Line	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
25.	03/04/17	First Period	“for a week CRTV told their customers that there were ‘technical difficulties with the show’, when in fact there were no technical difficulties whatsoever: they were being	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Announcing ... The Mark Steyn Show	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			uploaded by us to CRTV every day but CRTV's boss was refusing to air them."		Bag (All Bag, no show)"		OIDF
26.	03/05/17	First Period	"CRTV had decided to mislead its customers"	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Something is Rotten in the State of Sweden"	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
27.	03/09/17	First Period	"It's very scary to be on the receiving end of an assault by an obsessive billionaire with unlimited resources."	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Steyn on the Howie Carr Show"	Defamation Disparagement	OIDF
28.	03/09/17	First Period	"CRTV's determination to ruin him financially"	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Steyn Live! Where We're Headed"	Defamation Disparagement	OIDF
29.	03/13/17	First Period	"...we hope to find a new home for it [the show], this time on a real network"	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "The Interrogator"	Disparagement	
30.	03/16/17	First Period	"CRTV managed to seize all of the mugs as part of their ruthless negotiating technique"	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Deep and Deeper"	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF



**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
31.	03/27/17	First Period	"It's amateur hour with the business honchos at CRTV...They are just as bad as Hollywood liberals when it comes to making asinine decisions." (quoting reader email)	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Mad and Magyar"	Disparagement	
32.	07/30/17	First Period	"One aspect of the CRTV model I was never comfortable with was the subscription-only aspect...It's a weird thing to be told that you need to be out there saving western civilization – but only for premium subscribers."	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "An invitation to readers, listeners and viewers..."	Disparagement	
33.	02/19/18	Second Period	"What matters in a legal action is the final score: who wins and who loses...And in Canada, like almost every non-US court in what Jeff Sessions calls the 'Anglo-American' system, the loser pays"	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	
34.	02/25/18	Second Period	<p>This is a reference to the Final Award, which was issued four days prior (2/21/18) to Steyn posting this essay.</p> <p>"For one reason or another, I'm in an oddly triumphalist mood this week -and, when that's the way you're feeling, there's only one way to go. All together now:</p> <p align="center"><i>We Are The Champions, my friend</i></p> <p align="center"><i>And we'll keep on fighting till the end</i></p> <p align="center"><i>We Are The Champions!</i></p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Steyn's Song of the Week: We are the Champions"	Disparagement	

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			<p align="center"><i>We Are The Champions!</i></p> <p align="center"><i>No time for losers...</i></p> <p>Indeed. No time for losers, not this week.”</p>				
35.	03/04/18	Second Period	“Can any lawyers help me out here? What does “confirm award” mean in a court filing? Looks like @MarkSteynOnline is trying to do it with @CRTV. Can any lawyers help me out here? What does ‘confirm award’ mean in a court filing? Looks like @MarkSteynOnline is trying to do it with @CRTV.”	Andrew Lawton	Twitter	Confidentiality <sup>4</sup>	
36.	03/04/18	Second Period	“You might recall that a year ago CRTV fired me, and then sued me for breach of contract for a gazillion dollars. How'd that work out? Well, some of those hardy souls who follow the Empire State's court docket have spotted the following on the schedule for April 12th, and have expressed curiosity as to what it means...If you're a US lawyer, you'll know exactly what all that means. If you're not a US lawyer, all will be made plain on April 12th.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Curvy Morns and Tucker Nights”	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
37.	03/11/18	Second Period	“Assiduous followers of the New York court schedule noticed a looming date on the calendar (scroll down) for next month:	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Sennight	Disparagement	

<sup>4</sup> The Steyn Parties have also publicly disclosed in various court proceedings, other information and documents obtained in the prior arbitration.

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			<p>Court: New York Supreme Court</p> <p>Index Number: 0650887/18</p> <p>Case Name: STEYN, MARK vs. CRTV, LLC</p> <p>Relief Sought: Confirm Award</p> <p>There is plenty of disinformation out there regarding what happened, but, as Mark put it, he is happy to let the Court speak, definitively.”</p>		of Steyn March 5-11”	Confidentiality	
38.	03/14/18	Second Period	<p>“...an unaware member may innocently link to a website that sued me for an eight-figure sum, lost the case, and is refusing to pay the damages ordered by the judge. There's no reason at all for me to promote scofflaws and deadbeats.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “The Geopolitical Baggage Carousel”	Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact  OIDF
39.	03/18/18	Second Period	<p>“Dedicated followers of the New York court schedule noticed a looming date on the calendar (scroll down) for next month:</p> <p>Court: New York Supreme Court</p> <p>Index Number: 0650887/18</p> <p>Case Name: STEYN,MARK vs. CRTV, LLC</p> <p>Relief Sought: Confirm Award</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “A Sennight of Steyn March 12-18”	Disparagement  Confidentiality	

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			There is plenty of disinformation out there regarding what happened, but, as Mark put it, he is happy to let the Court speak, definitively.”				
40.	03/25/18	Second Period	<p>“Dedicated followers of the New York court schedule noticed a looming date on the calendar (scroll down) for next month:</p> <p>Court: New York Supreme Court</p> <p>Index Number: 0650887/18</p> <p>Case Name: STEYN,MARK vs. CRTV, LLC</p> <p>Relief Sought: Confirm Award</p> <p>There is plenty of disinformation out there regarding what happened, but, as Mark put it, he is happy to let the Court speak, definitively.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Sennight of Steyn March 19-25”	Disparagement Confidentiality	
41.	04/17/18	Second Period	“@joemerone @TuckerCarlson @CRTV Sounds like someone hasn't seen the judge's decision. Your pals @CRTV lost - big time. Why be a whiny loser all your life just 'cause you picked the wrong side? Get over it.”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	
42.	04/19/18	Second Period	“All this ‘claimant’/‘counterclaimant’ mumbo-jumbo obscures the reality: CRTV were the plaintiffs, they brought the suit, they dragged me into a pit of legal hell... You can read Judge Elaine Gordon's verdict on the case (confirmed by Judge Bransten today)here...Notwithstanding the decisions of two eminent judges, CRTV have not paid us a penny – and have indicated they will attempt further delay...CRTV lost on	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “CRTV v. Steyn: The Verdict”	Defamation Confidentiality Disparagement	False Fact OIDF

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	Date Published	Time Period <sup>1</sup>	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Provisions of BTS Violated	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts <sup>2</sup>
			<p>every single one of its claims, including the most pathetic: its accusation that I had stolen eight grand's worth of equipment - or, in legalese, 'conversion'...itself. CRTV brought a suit they should never have brought, and the judge punished them with damages, attorney's fees, costs, interest, the lot...On the other hand, if you prefer just the juicy bits, you might like the email exchange on page 8 between CRTV chief exec Cary Katz, his CFO Michael Boyd, and his Chief Content Officer Chris Crane. Crane writes:</p> <p><i>Let's announce everything and put this motherf**ker on the hook for everything. If anything goes wrong, it lands on HIM, not CRTV. Time to get tough with Pussy Steyn and Momma Bitch Nutcase Melissa...</i></p> <p>Aside from CRTV(hereinafter referred to as the plaintiffs) and Pussy Steyn and Momma Bitch Nutcase Melissa (hereinafter referred to as the motherf**kers), there are other names that recur throughout Judge Gordon's ruling, mostly of various CRTV figures. So a scorecard to help tell the players:</p> <p>~Rikki Ratliff, the original 'executive producer' of the show</p> <p>~Jason K Meath, some sort of political operative with ambitions to work in television;</p> <p>~Paul Kullman, the production's video mixer, and also (unknown to me at the time) a convicted criminal, banned from Canada, and a fugitive from justice during his entire</p>				

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	Date Published	Time Period <sup>1</sup>	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Provisions of BTS Violated	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts <sup>2</sup>
			<p>time on the show. As Judge Gordon notes on page 12, Kullman was 'not credible' and indeed committed perjury during his testimony. He subsequently settled with us for \$1,000 over his breach of his own employment contract;</p> <p>~Bayly Winkler, a production assistant on the show and (also unknown to me)a criminal associate of Kullman's, convicted of embezzlement in New York and also banned from Canada;</p> <p>~Corey Fehlner, the show's operations manager, who hired Kullman and Winkler, and was fired after being turned away by Canadian border agents.</p> <p>~MikeDunn, a Vermont PBS director who worked on the show. He wasn't a great director, but I feel a bit sorry for him, a she foolishly believed Fehlner and CRTV's promises of full-time employment once they'd got rid of me, and as a result the poor chap hasn't worked in a year.</p> <p>The other witness mentioned is one of ours, Kraig Kitchin, the chairman of the Radio Hall of Fame and co-founder of Premiere Radio Networks. I am enormously grateful to Kraig and his colleagues at 'The Rush Limbaugh Show' for sticking with me in the face of an extraordinary campaign last spring to get me booted from Rush and other outlets. I owe them more than I can ever repay...definitively. Judge Bransten today upheld every last dot and comma of it – as will the third judge, if CRTV are foolish enough to prolong this thing. As I</p>				

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			said, I hope i twill not be necessary to say any more about this matter. I hope that Cary Katz and CRTV's other owners will accept the verdict, and do as honorable men do who pick a fight and lose: Take their lumps and move on.”				
43.	04/19/18	Second Period	“CRTV had no right to do what they did...They [CRTV] picked this fight”	Mark Steyn	New York Daily News	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
44.	04/19/18	Second Period	“CRTV picked a fight with me – and they lost, comprehensively, as comprehensively as they could...I think they should honor what two judges have now found.”	Mark Steyn	Law360 article “Conservative Pundit Wins \$4M From Network That Fired Him”	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
45.	04/22/18	Second Period	(Inserts picture of Cary Katz with “pussy hat” Photoshopped on his head.)  “CRTV have yet to pay a penny, and indeed have indicated to us that they don't intend to.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Se’nnight of Steyn, April 16-22”  Link on Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
46.	04/22/18	Second Period	<p>“On February 22, 24hrs after losing, @CaryKatz, the poker playing billionaire of @crtv, told us he ‘didn’t care about @crtv’ or its credit, so good luck trying to collect... There’s nothing ‘strong’ or ‘conservative’ about a (<i>sic</i>) opportunistic billionaire like Cary Katz... 2 judges have found @CRTV guilty of wrongdoing &amp; ordered them to make it right... So the person who’s hurting a ‘fledgling conservative TV network’ is its own boss. Don’t get played for a sap by these phony conservatives.”</p>	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact  OIDF
47.	04/23/18	Second Period	<p>“A ‘great patriot’ decides to sue his own company @CRTV @CaryKatz #SteynOnAmerica steynonline.com/8607/mark-levi...”</p>	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation  Disparagement	OIDF
48.	04/23/18	Second Period	<p>(Inserts picture of Cary Katz with “pussy hat” Photoshopped on his head side by side with a picture of Mark Levin captioned “Mark Levin with his ‘patriot’ sugar-daddy Cary Katz.”)</p> <p>“impressionable types might get the idea from the lawsuit that the Great Patriot seems more like some sleazy bum that no genuine ‘constitutional conservative’ should be mixed up with.”</p> <p>Refers to Cary Katz as a “walking toilet” and a “great scofflaw and great deadbeat. And anyone who makes the mistake of subscribing to his ‘wonderful network’ is just roadkill in the path of his fleeing limo.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Mark Levin and His “Great Patriot Time for Conservativism, Inc to clean up its act”	Confidentiality  Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact  OIDF



**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			<p>“for example, he personally approves every payment over \$1,000. And he also personally decided to leave me on the hook for well over 300 grand in payroll and production costs for shows he aired on CRTV.”</p> <p>“Twenty-four hours after Judge Gordon ruled CRTV had to pay us four mil plus change, Katz called to say he would never pay it.”</p> <p>“Unless ‘conservative’ is a synonym for ‘criminal’, this man and his associates should have no place on the American right.”</p>				
49.	04/23/18	Second Period	“Replying to @blondie10250 A counter suit is a suit that's filed to counter a suit that has first been filed against you. The clue is in the name ‘COUNTER-suit’. CRTV sued me for ten million bucks. Two judges have ruled against them. Quit defending bums and scofflaws. They lost big time. Move on.”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	OIDF
50.	04/23/18	Second Period	“It's over, and CRTV lost spectacularly, which is entirely its own fault...CRTV is the red-meat rock-ribbed more-conservative-than-thou network: its current Twitter banner features <u>a macho hunk with tattooed forearms wide enough to park your truck on, and he's so butch he won't even feel it.</u> Whereas, in the famous words of CRTV management, I'm ‘Pussy Steyn’ (see page eight of <u>Judge Gordon's order</u> ), the Canadian showtune queen. Yet somehow Pussy Steyn is	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Pictures from an Exhibitionist”	Defamation Disparagement Confidentiality	False Fact OIDF

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			<p>‘hurting’ the rock-hard red-in-tooth-and-claw righter-than-right network.</p> <p>Just for the record, CRTV is owned by a Las Vegas student-loan billionaire, Cary Katz. His shareholders include Pete Wilson, the former Republican Governor of California, and other colossi of the American right. But if only the penniless Canuck pussy would stop being such a meanie and ease up on ‘hurting’ them...</p> <p>You begin to understand why Conservatism, Inc has been such a bust... CRTV's local enforcers (a gang of criminals and criminal associates from Lake Placid) attempted to intimidate female employees of mine. When they skedaddled out of town after the verdict, they trashed the building, cutting through wiring, and illegally removing fixtures and fittings. CRTV is still bullying. Judge Gordon issued her decision on February 21st. By the close of business on February 22nd, CRTV had threatened to re-sue us, and were back to issuing intimidating we-know-where-you-live warnings to female colleagues...I was in town for a <u>Hillsdale event</u>, a few days after Cary Katz and the CRTV goon squad had set to work on me”</p>				
51.	04/23/18	Second Period	<p>“Actually it [CRTV] IS going bankrupt. On Friday @marklevinshow’s ‘patriot’ sugary-daddy @CaryKatz filed</p>	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			suit against @CRTV in Las Vegas to recover millions he's sunk into it."				
52.	04/24/18	Second Period	<p>"I've had these emails, because it was in the New York Daily News and a few other newspapers, about I won a big court case the other, a couple of days ago and people have asked me about it. Because it was against CRTV, this so called conservative network that has, you know, it's got some names you'd know on there. And it's the creation of a guy called Cary Katz, who's a billionaire, student loan billionaire, in other words he made a billion dollars in the student loan business.</p> <p>And I don't really want to talk about it, but it was a great legal victory and I'm thrilled by that. The court ruled that the guy's broke my contract and they rejected every single one. CRTV sued me for 10 million dollars, so I had to defend it. They lost every single one of their claims, CRTV and this Cary Katz guy. And I won and the court awarded me I think it's like four million bucks, which I'm never going to see, because this guy, this CRTV guy Cary Katz, is now suing his own company to drive them into bankruptcy and make them broke enough that they'll never be able to pay me. So don't worry about me getting so rich I'm just going to be off in the Bahamas and not guest hosting or anything like that. I'm just the same old penniless Canadian I've always been.</p>	Mark Steyn	Guest host of Rush Limbaugh Show	Defamation Disparagement Confidentiality	OIDF

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			So I don't really want to talk about that. I think conservatives in particular ought to be very careful when they get mixed up with dishonorable guys like this Cary Katz guy. And I don't really want to say any more about it than that, but I heard him hailed on the radio the other day as a great patriot. He's not. Just as a point of law, he's actually, right at the moment he's a great scofflaw and a great deadbeat. And that's not what the conservative movement means...Because in the end, politics is the act of addition, not of subtraction. It's not about warming yourself up in a subscription ghetto...And I've become a bit tired at these kind of big shot opportunists muscling in on parts of the American right, thinking that you know, they spread a few dollars around until they get bored like this guy did with CRTV. And they yank the carpet out from under. The American, American conservatism doesn't need that.”				
53.	04/24/18	Second Period	“I didn’t sue them, they sued me – for ten million dollars. And now they’ve lost on every claim they’re whining about it. @CRTV is ‘conservative’ only if you think ‘conservative’ is a synonym for ‘criminal.’”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
54.	04/25/18 through unknown date	Second Period	Banner at the top of the website prominently displays “CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline homepage	Disparagement Confidentiality	

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
55.	04/26/18	Second Period	“Indeed. Also collusion: ‘a secret agreement between two persons that one should institute a suit against the other, in order to obtain the decision of a judicial tribunal for some sinister purpose.’ We’ve intervened against sleazebag @CaryKatz & @CRTV : steynonline.com/documents/8612..”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation Disparagement	OIDF
56.	04/28/18	Third Period	“sleazebag scofflaw Cary Katz and CRTV’s brazen attempt to evade their obligation to pay me (per my tremendous <u>court victory</u> ) by <u>suing themselves into pseudo-bankruptcy</u> . Oddly enough, the court reporter of <i>The Las Vegas Review-Journal</i> has written a story that <u>pretends to take Katz’s suit against CRTV seriously</u> :... <i>To be clear, this action is nothing more than a cover for the fraudulent conveyance of funds from CRTV to Katz.</i> Judge Kishner will hear our motion against deadbeat Katz’s phoney-baloney bullsh*t self-suing suit on May 29 <sup>th</sup> .”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Life Belongs Only to the Strong...?”	Defamation Disparagement	False Fact OIDF
57.	04/29/18	Third Period	“Late on Friday, having brought a ten million dollar suit against me and lost comprehensively, CRTV and its billionaire owner Cary Katz decided to re-sue me, this time for a mere five million dollars. Pages 68-76 of their 200-page complaint are a printout of Song of the Week #325 ‘We are the Champions’ and pages 77-85 are a printout of Song of the Week #320 ‘Oh Happy Day’, both of which exhibits Mr Katz claims are disparaging and defamatory of him. Neither mentions him or CRTV, and our legal team is mystified as to why even CRTV would lay these harmless	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “I’ve Heard That Song Before”	Confidentiality Disparagement	

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			<p>essays before a judge. But we thought we might ask SteynOnline readers for their insights. So, if you can identify anything in my essay 'Oh Happy Day' that is actionable, let us know by emailing here, and we'll pick a winner and buy him or her a year's subscription to CRTV. They're apparently rather short of subscribers, and now that Katz has sued his own company into insolvency we need to help bulk up their cash-flow so they can afford to pay us the damages Judge Bransten and Judge Gordon have ordered. Second prize is two subscriptions to CRTV, but you undoubtedly saw that joke coming.."</p> <p>This article publishes a link to the final award (contained in Steyn Parties' complaint in intervention in another proceeding).</p>				
58.	04/29/18	Third Period	<p>"Presumably, after they lose that case, they'll re-re-sue us for \$2.5 million. And then \$1.25 million. At that rate of attrition, Mark will be able to afford to throw 'em a bone and let 'em win one another three or four suits down the road. The new complaint is 200 pages long and includes as exhibits two of Steyn's recent <i>Song of the Week</i> selections. Apparently CRTV's head honcho Cary Katz is taking time out of his hectic schedule of suing himself to argue that Mark's essays on 'We Are the Champions' and 'Oh, Happy Day' are disparaging and</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "A Sennight of Steyn April 23-29"	Disparagement	

**STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING**

	<b>Date Published</b>	<b>Time Period<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Statement</b>	<b>Person who Published Statement</b>	<b>Place of Publication</b>	<b>Provisions of BTS Violated</b>	<b>False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts<sup>2</sup></b>
			defamatory of him. Please, no tittering. He means it, and intends to spend whatever seven figure sum it takes to lose yet another lawsuit.”				
59.	04/29/18	Third Period	“The week ended with more comedy gold: The good news is that @CRTV sued Steyn for ten million dollars, and lost, comprehensively. The bad news is that, late on Friday, #CRTV re-sued Steyn for five million dollars. steynonline.com/8618/asennigh... #CRTVvsSteyn” (with banner picture of CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict)	@mommabi tchnutcase  Retweeted by Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement  Confidentiality	
60.	04/29/18	Third Period	“Replying to @MarkSteynOnline @CRTV and 3 others Is @PokerGO the next to fall? Pokertube.com/article/pokerg... #CaryKatz #Poker #LasVegas @pokertube”	@mommabi tchnutcase  Retweeted by Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Disparagement	
61.	04/30/18	Third Period	“In honor of this innovative legal strategy, we have launched a new competition: If you can identify anything in Steyn’s salute to ‘Oh Happy Day’ that is the least bit actionable, let us know by emailing here, and we’ll pick the best entry and buy the lucky winner a year’s subscription to CRTV. Second prize is two subscriptions to CRTV, but, as Mark says, you undoubtedly saw that joke coming.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Turning the Targets”	Disparagement  Confidentiality	
62.	04/30/18	Third Period	“File Under Collusion: steynonline.com/documents/8625...#CRTV @CRTV @CaryKatz @gastonmooney @lvcourts” (with banner picture of CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict)	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteyn Online	Defamation  Disparagement	False Fact