

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
33.	02/19/18	Second Period	“What matters in a legal action is the final score: who wins and who loses...And in Canada, like almost every non-US court in what Jeff Sessions calls the ‘Anglo-American’ system, the loser pays”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Disparagement	
34.	02/25/18	Second Period	<p>This is a reference to the Final Award, which was issued four days prior (2/21/18) to Steyn posting this essay.</p> <p>“For one reason or another, I’m in an oddly triumphalist mood this week – and, when that’s the way you’re feeling, there’s only one way to go. All together now:</p> <p align="center"><i>We Are The Champions, my friend</i></p> <p align="center"><i>And we’ll keep on fighting till the end</i></p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Steyn’s Song of the Week: We are the Champions”	Disparagement	

¹ **First Period** includes acts disparagement and defamation beginning in late February 2017 and continuing through the end of March 2017. **Second Period** includes the same for the time frame January 21, 2018 and continued through the date CRTV filed its demand in this proceeding, April 26, 2018. **Third Period** is April 27, 2018 through the present day.

² (“OIDF”)

~~Crossed-out~~ entries or claims means they are no longer at issue.

Highlighted are claims Claimant now seeks leave to amend to add to the demand.

RED TEXT notes the specific diction that violates paragraph 13 of the BTS or the tort of defamation or both.

BLUE TEXT notes a confidentiality breach of paragraph 19 if the BTS.

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			<p><i>We Are The Champions!</i></p> <p><i>We Are The Champions!</i></p> <p><i>No time for losers...</i></p> <p>Indeed. No time for losers, not this week.”</p>				
35.	03/04/18	Second Period	<p>“Can any lawyers help me out here? What does “confirm award” mean in a court filing? Looks like @MarkSteynOnline is trying to do it with @CRTV. Can any lawyers help me out here? What does ‘confirm award’ mean in a court filing? Looks like @MarkSteynOnline is trying to do it with @CRTV.”</p>	Andrew Lawton	Twitter	Confidentiality ³	
36.	03/04/18	Second Period	<p>“You might recall that a year ago CRTV fired me, and then sued me for breach of contract for a gazillion dollars. How'd that work out? Well, some of those hardy souls who follow the Empire State's court docket have spotted the following on the schedule for April 12th, and have expressed curiosity as to what it means...If you're a US lawyer, you'll know exactly what all that means. If you're not a US lawyer, all will be made plain on April 12th.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Curvy Morns and Tucker Nights”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF

³ The Steyn Parties have also publicly disclosed in various court proceedings, other information and documents obtained in the prior arbitration.

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
37.	03/11/18	Second Period	<p>“Assiduous followers of the New York court schedule noticed a looming date on the calendar (scroll down) for next month:</p> <p>Court: New York Supreme Court</p> <p>Index Number: 0650887/18</p> <p>Case Name: STEYN, MARK vs. CRTV, LLC</p> <p>Relief Sought: Confirm Award</p> <p>There is plenty of disinformation out there regarding what happened, but, as Mark put it, he is happy to let the Court speak, definitively.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Sennight of Steyn March 5-11”	Disparagement Confidentiality	
38.	03/14/18	Second Period	<p>“...an unaware member may innocently link to a website that sued me for an eight-figure sum, lost the case, and is refusing to pay the damages ordered by the judge. There's no reason at all for me to promote scofflaws and deadbeats.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “The Geopolitical Baggage Carousel”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
39.	03/18/18	Second Period	<p>“Dedicated followers of the New York court schedule noticed a looming date on the calendar (scroll down) for next month:</p> <p>Court: New York Supreme Court</p> <p>Index Number: 0650887/18</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “A Sennight of Steyn March 12-18”	Disparagement Confidentiality	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			<p>Case Name: STEYN,MARK vs. CRTV, LLC</p> <p>Relief Sought: Confirm Award</p> <p>There is plenty of disinformation out there regarding what happened, but, as Mark put it, he is happy to let the Court speak, definitively.”</p>				
40.	03/25/18	Second Period	<p>“Dedicated followers of the New York court schedule noticed a looming date on the calendar (scroll down) for next month:</p> <p>Court: New York Supreme Court</p> <p>Index Number: 0650887/18</p> <p>Case Name: STEYN,MARK vs. CRTV, LLC</p> <p>Relief Sought: Confirm Award</p> <p>There is plenty of disinformation out there regarding what happened, but, as Mark put it, he is happy to let the Court speak, definitively.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Sennight of Steyn March 19-25”	Disparagement Confidentiality	
41.	04/17/18	Second Period	<p>“@joemerone @TuckerCarlson @CRTV Sounds like someone hasn't seen the judge's decision. Your pals @CRTV lost - big time. Why be a whiny loser all your life just 'cause you picked the wrong side? Get over it.”</p>	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
42.	04/19/18	Second Period	<p>“All this ‘claimant’/‘counterclaimant’ mumbo-jumbo obscures the reality: CRTV were the plaintiffs, they brought the suit, they dragged me into a pit of legal hell... You can</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline “CRTV v.	Defamation (tort)	False Fact

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>read Judge Elaine Gordon's verdict on the case (confirmed by Judge Bransten today)here...Notwithstanding the decisions of two eminent judges, CRTV have not paid us a penny – and have indicated they will attempt further delay...CRTV lost on every single one of its claims, including the most pathetic: its accusation that I had stolen eight grand's worth of equipment - or, in legalese, ‘conversion’...itself. CRTV brought a suit they should never have brought, and the judge punished them with damages, attorney's fees, costs, interest, the lot...On the other hand, if you prefer just the juicy bits, you might like the email exchange on page 8 between CRTV chief exec Cary Katz, his CFO Michael Boyd, and his Chief Content Officer Chris Crane. Crane writes:</p> <p><i>Let's announce everything and put this motherf**ker on the hook for everything. If anything goes wrong, it lands on HIM, not CRTV. Time to get tough with Pussy Steyn and Momma Bitch Nutcase Melissa...</i></p> <p>Aside from CRTV(hereinafter referred to as the plaintiffs) and Pussy Steyn and Momma Bitch Nutcase Melissa (hereinafter referred to as the motherf**kers), there are other names that recur throughout Judge Gordon's ruling, mostly of various CRTV figures. So a scorecard to help tell the players:</p> <p>~Rikki Ratliff, the original ‘executive producer’ of the show</p>		Steyn: The Verdict”	Confidentiality Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>~Jason K Meath, some sort of political operative with ambitions to work in television;</p> <p>~Paul Kullman, the production's video mixer, and also (unknown to me at the time) a convicted criminal, banned from Canada, and a fugitive from justice during his entire time on the show. As Judge Gordon notes on page 12, Kullman was 'not credible' and indeed committed perjury during his testimony. He subsequently settled with us for \$1,000 over his breach of his own employment contract;</p> <p>~Bayly Winkler, a production assistant on the show and (also unknown to me)a criminal associate of Kullman's, convicted of embezzlement in New York and also banned from Canada;</p> <p>~Corey Fehlner, the show's operations manager, who hired Kullman and Winkler, and was fired after being turned away by Canadian border agents.</p> <p>~MikeDunn, a Vermont PBS director who worked on the show. He wasn't a great director, but I feel a bit sorry for him, as he foolishly believed Fehlner and CRTV's promises of full-time employment once they'd got rid of me, and as a result the poor chap hasn't worked in a year.</p> <p>The other witness mentioned is one of ours, Kraig Kitchin, the chairman of the Radio Hall of Fame and co-founder of Premiere Radio Networks. I am enormously grateful to Kraig and his colleagues at 'The Rush Limbaugh Show' for sticking</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			with me in the face of an extraordinary campaign last spring to get me booted from Rush and other outlets. I owe them more than I can ever repay...definitively. Judge Bransten today upheld every last dot and comma of it – as will the third judge, if CRTV are foolish enough to prolong this thing . As I said, I hope i twill not be necessary to say any more about this matter. I hope that Cary Katz and CRTV's other owners will accept the verdict, and do as honorable men do who pick a fight and lose: Take their lumps and move on. ”				
43.	04/19/18	Second Period	“CRTV had no right to do what they did...They [CRTV] picked this fight”	Mark Steyn	New York Daily News	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
44.	04/19/18	Second Period	“CRTV picked a fight with me – and they lost, comprehensively, as comprehensively as they could...I think they should honor what two judges have now found.”	Mark Steyn	Law360 article “Conservative Pundit Wins \$4M From Network That Fired Him”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
45.	04/22/18	Second Period	(Inserts picture of Cary Katz with “pussy hat” Photoshopped on his head.)	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Se’night of Steyn, April 16-22”	Defamation (tort)	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			“CRTV have yet to pay a penny, and indeed have indicated to us that they don't intend to.”		Link on Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
46.	04/22/18	Second Period	“On February 22, 24hrs after losing, @CaryKatz, the poker playing billionaire of @crtv, told us he ‘didn’t care about @crtv’ or its credit, so good luck trying to collect... There’s nothing ‘strong’ or ‘conservative’ about a (<i>sic</i>) opportunistic billionaire like Cary Katz... 2 judges have found @CRTV guilty of wrongdoing & ordered them to make it right... So the person who’s hurting a ‘fledgling conservative TV network’ is its own boss. Don’t get played for a sap by these phony conservatives.”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
47.	04/23/18	Second Period	“A ‘great patriot’ decides to sue his own company @CRTV @CaryKatz #SteynOnAmerica steynonline.com/8607/mark-levi...”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	OIDF
48.	04/23/18	Second Period	(Inserts picture of Cary Katz with “pussy hat” Photoshopped on his head side by side with a picture of Mark Levin captioned “Mark Levin with his ‘patriot’ sugar-daddy Cary Katz.”) “impressionable types might get the idea from the lawsuit that the Great Patriot seems more like some sleazy bum that no genuine ‘constitutional conservative’ should be mixed up with.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Mark Levin and His “Great Patriot Time for Conservatism , Inc to clean up its act”	Confidentiality Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			<p>Refers to Cary Katz as a “walking toilet” and a “great scofflaw and great deadbeat. And anyone who makes the mistake of subscribing to his ‘wonderful network’ is just roadkill in the path of his fleeing limo.”</p> <p>“for example, he personally approves every payment over \$1,000. And he also personally decided to leave me on the hook for well over 300 grand in payroll and production costs for shows he aired on CRTV.”</p> <p>“Twenty-four hours after Judge Gordon ruled CRTV had to pay us four mil plus change, Katz called to say he would never pay it.”</p> <p>“Unless ‘conservative’ is a synonym for ‘criminal’, this man and his associates should have no place on the American right.”</p>				
49.	04/23/18	Second Period	<p>“Replying to @blondie10250 A counter suit is a suit that's filed to counter a suit that has first been filed against you. The clue is in the name ‘COUNTER-suit’. CRTV sued me for ten million bucks. Two judges have ruled against them. Quit defending bums and scofflaws. They lost big time. Move on.”</p>	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	OIDF
50.	04/23/18	Second Period	<p>“It's over, and CRTV lost spectacularly, which is entirely its own fault...CRTV is the red-meat rock-ribbed more-conservative-than-thou network: its current Twitter banner</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Pictures from	Defamation (tort)	False Fact

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>features <u>a macho hunk with tattooed forearms wide enough to park your truck on, and he's so butch he won't even feel it.</u> Whereas, in the famous words of CRTV management, I'm 'Pussy Steyn' (see page eight of Judge Gordon's order), the Canadian showtune queen. Yet somehow Pussy Steyn is 'hurting' the rock-hard red-in-tooth-and-claw righter-than-right network.</p> <p>Just for the record, CRTV is owned by a Las Vegas student-loan billionaire, Cary Katz. His shareholders include Pete Wilson, the former Republican Governor of California, and other colossi of the American right. But if only the penniless Canuck pussy would stop being such a meanie and ease up on 'hurting' them...</p> <p>You begin to understand why Conservatism, Inc has been such a bust... CRTV's local enforcers (a gang of criminals and criminal associates from Lake Placid) attempted to intimidate female employees of mine. When they skedaddled out of town after the verdict, they trashed the building, cutting through wiring, and illegally removing fixtures and fittings. CRTV is still bullying. Judge Gordon issued her decision on February 21st. By the close of business on February 22nd, CRTV had threatened to re-sue us, and were back to issuing intimidating we-know-where-you-live warnings to female colleagues...I was in town for a Hillsdale event, a few days</p>		an Exhibitionist"	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			after Cary Katz and the CRTV goon squad had set to work on me”				
51.	04/23/18	Second Period	“ Actually it [CRTV] IS going bankrupt. On Friday @marklevinshow’s ‘patriot’ sugary-daddy @CaryKatz filed suit against @CRTV in Las Vegas to recover millions he’s sunk into it. ”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
52.	04/24/18	Second Period	<p>“I’ve had these emails, because it was in the New York Daily News and a few other newspapers, about I won a big court case the other, a couple of days ago and people have asked me about it. Because it was against CRTV, this so called conservative network that has, you know, it’s got some names you’d know on there. And it’s the creation of a guy called Cary Katz, who’s a billionaire, student loan billionaire, in other words he made a billion dollars in the student loan business.</p> <p>And I don’t really want to talk about it, but it was a great legal victory and I’m thrilled by that. The court ruled that the guy’s broke my contract and they rejected every single one. CRTV sued me for 10 million dollars, so I had to defend it. They lost every single one of their claims, CRTV and this Cary Katz guy. And I won and the court awarded me I think it’s like four million bucks, which I’m never going to see, because this guy, this CRTV guy Cary Katz, is now suing his own company to drive them into bankruptcy and make them broke enough that</p>	Mark Steyn	Guest host of Rush Limbaugh Show	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>they'll never be able to pay me. So don't worry about me getting so rich I'm just going to be off in the Bahamas and not guest hosting or anything like that. I'm just the same old penniless Canadian I've always been.</p> <p>So I don't really want to talk about that. I think conservatives in particular ought to be very careful when they get mixed up with dishonorable guys like this Cary Katz guy. And I don't really want to say any more about it than that, but I heard him hailed on the radio the other day as a great patriot. He's not. Just as a point of law, he's actually, right at the moment he's a great scofflaw and a great deadbeat. And that's not what the conservative movement means...Because in the end, politics is the act of addition, not of subtraction. It's not about warming yourself up in a subscription ghetto...And I've become a bit tired at these kind of big shot opportunists muscling in on parts of the American right, thinking that you know, they spread a few dollars around until they get bored like this guy did with CRTV. And they yank the carpet out from under. The American, American conservatism doesn't need that.”</p>				
53.	04/24/18	Second Period	<p>“I didn’t sue them, they sued me – for ten million dollars. And now they’ve lost on every claim they’re whining about it. @CRTV is ‘conservative’ only if you think ‘conservative’ is a synonym for ‘criminal.’”</p>	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
54.	04/25/18 through unknown date	Second Period	Banner at the top of the website prominently displays “CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline homepage	Disparagement Confidentiality	
55.	04/26/18	Second Period	“Indeed. Also collusion: ‘a secret agreement between two persons that one should institute a suit against the other, in order to obtain the decision of a judicial tribunal for some sinister purpose.’ We’ve intervened against sleazebag @CaryKatz & @CRTV : steynonline.com/documents/8612..”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	OIDF
56.	04/28/18	Third Period	“sleazebag scofflaw Cary Katz and CRTV’s brazen attempt to evade their obligation to pay me (per my tremendous court victory) by <u>suing themselves into pseudo-bankruptcy</u> . Oddly enough, the court reporter of <i>The Las Vegas Review-Journal</i> has written a story that <u>pretends to take Katz’s suit against CRTV seriously</u> :... <i>To be clear, this action is nothing more than a cover for the fraudulent conveyance of funds from CRTV to Katz.</i> Judge Kishner will hear our motion against deadbeat Katz’s phoney-baloney bullsh*t self-suing suit on May 29 th .”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Life Belongs Only to the Strong...?”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
57.	04/29/18	Third Period	“Late on Friday, having brought a ten million dollar suit against me and lost comprehensively, CRTV and its billionaire owner Cary Katz decided to re-sue me, this time for a mere five million dollars. Pages 68-76 of their 200-page complaint are a printout of Song of the Week #325 ‘We are the Champions’ and pages 77-85 are a printout of Song of the	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “I’ve Heard That Song Before”	Confidentiality Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			<p>Week #320 ‘Oh Happy Day’, both of which exhibits Mr Katz claims are disparaging and defamatory of him. Neither mentions him or CRTV, and our legal team is mystified as to why even CRTV would lay these harmless essays before a judge. But we thought we might ask SteynOnline readers for their insights. So, if you can identify anything in my essay ‘Oh Happy Day’ that is actionable, let us know by emailing here, and we’ll pick a winner and buy him or her a year’s subscription to CRTV. They’re apparently rather short of subscribers, and now that Katz has sued his own company into insolvency we need to help bulk up their cash-flow so they can afford to pay us the damages Judge Bransten and Judge Gordon have ordered. Second prize is two subscriptions to CRTV, but you undoubtedly saw that joke coming..”</p> <p>This article publishes a link to the final award (contained in Steyn Parties’ complaint in intervention in another proceeding).</p>				
58.	04/29/18	Third Period	<p>“Presumably, after they lose that case, they’ll re-re-sue us for \$2.5 million. And then \$1.25 million. At that rate of attrition, Mark will be able to afford to throw ‘em a bone and let ‘em win one another three or four suits down the road. The new complaint is 200 pages long and includes as exhibits two of Steyn’s recent <i>Song of the Week</i> selections. Apparently CRTV’s head honcho Cary Katz is taking time out of his hectic schedule of suing himself to argue that Mark’s essays on ‘We Are the Champions’ and ‘Oh, Happy Day’ are</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Sennight of Steyn April 23-29”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			disparaging and defamatory of him. Please, no tittering. He means it, and intends to spend whatever seven figure sum it takes to lose yet another lawsuit.”				
59.	04/29/18	Third Period	“The week ended with more comedy gold : The good news is that @CRTV sued Steyn for ten million dollars, and lost, comprehensively . The bad news is that, late on Friday, #CRTV re-sued Steyn for five million dollars . steynonline.com/8618/asennigh... #CRTVvsSteyn ” (with banner picture of CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict)	@mommabitchnutcase Retweeted by Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	
60.	04/29/18	Third Period	“Replying to @MarkSteynOnline @CRTV and 3 others Is @PokerGO the next to fall? Pokertube.com/article/pokerg... #CaryKatz #Poker #LasVegas @pokertube ”	@mommabitchnutcase Retweeted by Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
60a.	04/30/18	Third Period	“PS Don't forget our brand new competition arising from sleazy scofflaw Cary Katz's decision to come back for more : The good news is that CRTV sued Steyn for ten million dollars, and lost, comprehensively . The bad news is that, late on Friday, CRTV re-sued Steyn for five million dollars... The new complaint is 200 pages long and includes as exhibits two of Steyn's recent Song of the Week selections. Apparently CRTV's head honcho Cary Katz is taking time out of his hectic schedule of suing himself to argue that Mark's essays on 'We Are the Champions' and 'Oh Happy Day' are disparaging and defamatory of him. ”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Last Laughs”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Defamation (tort)	Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			If you can identify anything in my celebration of ‘Oh Happy Day’ that is the least bit defamatory of the self-suing sleazebag Katz, do let us know by emailing here. I’ll pick the best entry and buy the lucky winner a year’s subscription to CRTV. Second prize is (all together now) two subscriptions to CRTV.”				
61.	04/30/18	Third Period	“In honor of this innovative legal strategy, we have launched a new competition: If you can identify anything in Steyn’s salute to ‘Oh Happy Day’ that is the least bit actionable, let us know by emailing here, and we’ll pick the best entry and buy the lucky winner a year’s subscription to CRTV. Second prize is two subscriptions to CRTV, but, as Mark says, you undoubtedly saw that joke coming.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Turning the Targets”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
62.	04/30/18	Third Period	“File Under Collusion: steynonline.com/documents/8625...#CRTV @CRTV @CaryKatz @gastonmooney @lvcourts” (with banner picture of CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict)	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact
63.	05/01/18	Third Period	“sleazebag Katz called us 24 hours later to announce that he declined to be bound by the ‘binding arbitration’ he’d put us through and would never pay what Judge Gordon had ordered him to. I know some of you have questions about all that, and I’m happy to take them and answer them candidly, and indeed (as the show goes on) ever more indiscreetly...The poker guys seem to pick up the stink of Katz rather more easily than his talent at CRTV does....”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Live Around the Planet”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
64.	05/01/18	Third Period	<p>“Her final order is a thing of beauty, they sued me for 10 million dollars, I defended myself, and the net result was a 14 million dollar swing. He thought he was going to take me for 10 million dollars, instead he’s been ordered to give me 4 million, and I think \$150,000. I have to keep track because there’s interest. Goes up by a grand a day. That was February the 21st, and we had to keep quiet about it <u>because it’s confidential</u>, but he didn’t pay it. At that point we had to go to a court to get it confirmed. We went to the New York Supreme court, and judge Bransten, another excellent judge who wouldn’t entertain any of the nonsense from CRTV for a moment confirmed the award, and so it’s now two judges have told this guy, Cary Katz, that’s his name. He’s a poker player and student loan billionaire. Told him he has to pay us 4,150,000 and counting. He’s told us he’s not going to. He runs the most all-american constitutional conservative network ever created, CRTV, and in his version of the US constitution, there’s no judicial branch, so he doesn’t care how many judges tell him that he has to pay us \$4,150,000, he’s not going to do it, the creep...The minute he lost, on April the 19th, judge Bransten in the New York Supreme court confirmed the award, which meant he had to pay, CRTV, his company had to pay us 4 million dollars, so on Friday, he sued himself, he sued his own company in Las Vegas, on Friday April the 20th. 24 hours later in order to drive his own company into pseudo-bankruptcy so it’s too broke to be able to pay us. We expected that. I didn’t expect him to be such a boob that he’d sue me again. He sued me last year for 10</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Question and Answer	<p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p> <p>Confidentiality (note Respondents’ acknowledgment of confidentiality)</p>	<p>False Fact</p> <p>OIDF</p>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>million dollars, on Friday he filed a suit against me for five million dollars. When he loses this second suit, he'll presumably sue me a third time for two and a half million dollars, and then when he loses for one a quarter million dollars. Four or five lawsuits down the road, I should be able to afford to lose one and let him win one occasionally. He sued me for being disparaging about him for calling him a scofflaw and a deadbeat. He's a scofflaw, and the plain meaning of that term, and that he's scoffing at the orders of two judges now, and he's a deadbeat because these two judges have told him to pay us 4 million bucks and he's told us he's never going to pay it. He doesn't care how many judges tell him to pay us the money, he's never going to pay it. He's a deadbeat in the sense of those deadbeat dads you used to see on ... Do you still have that? Actually, ages since I've seen the Milk Carton, do they still have them on Milk cartons? We're going to of a Steyn Online milk carton by the way, we can offer it at the Steyn Online store with Cary Katz's deadbeat face on it. We're going to do that. Thank you for that questions. Did you have Carmen in Toronto rights? Did you have any nagging, gut feeling or doubts before or during the process of getting into a business arrangement with Cary Katz CRTV? In retrospect, were there any little red flag signs forebodings of the potential for the unbelievable shadiness and litigiousness that followed? Actually there was one thing, we had a meeting, and I think we'll put some. I know a lot of people aren't interested in this, some people are interested in this, but we'll put a page up at the website with</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>links to some of this stuff. But we actually had one meeting, because he hasn't paid us now, so screw him because if hasn't paid us there's no reason ... He's just breaking the contract all over again...I agree to submit to confidential binding arbitration, and then this guy, Cary Katz, he calls us up a day after judge Gordon has ordered him to, said he's lost every single one of his claims and he has to pay us 4 million dollars, and he called us up to say he didn't care about the confidential binding arbitration, he wasn't going to be bound by it. I knew he was a bum by then, but what's interesting, if you happened to be one of those CRTV hosts, if you happen to be Steven Crowder, or Gavin McInnes or Michelle Malkin or any of the other CRTV hosts and you think you got a pretty sweet deal with Cary Katz, just be aware that when he says he's not going to be bound by the confidential binding arbitration, this sleazy bum isn't saying that he's breaking my contract or that my contract's worthless, he's telling Michelle Malkin, Steven Crowder, Gavin McInnes, all these other guys at CRTV that their contracts are worthless. Actually if any of you fellow CRTV hosts are listening and you don't want your contract to be worthless, it'd be in your interest to actually tell him to honor his contract with me and pay the 4 million, because that way you'd know that your contract might not be as worth less as he has just rendered it. Anyway, I'm happy to take more. Now, he's suing me for 5 million anyway, for saying he's a scofflaw and a deadbeat because he's a scofflaw and a deadbeat, so we'll see how that goes...Miller writes, congratulations on winning the CRTV case. I note this</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>comment from Robert Michaels. “So you won your case against CRTV, now instead of hurting a fledgling conservative TV network, take course plus 10% for your trouble and let them be. Be the bigger man.” Well, with respect, Mark, aren’t you a fledgling conservative media network. You make and broadcast your own shows from your own set, and host a variety of well-known guests. Mister stupid’s suggestion seems to be that the smaller fledgling outlet, you, should make a massive charitable donation to the larger struggling and criminal outlet CRTV. It’s a kind of reverse Robin Hood whereby we rob from the weak and give to the strong that prey up on them. There’s a song for that. Also, how does mister stupid, Robert Michaels, expect you to be the bigger man when he wants you to keep giving money away to larger and criminal organizations? Surely that will just make you smaller. What am I not understanding about mister stupid’s stupid demand?...Well, he likes the programming at CRTV. I’ve got nothing against the programming at CRTV. We were never meant to be folded into this phony baloney paywalled network, which does nothing for conservatives. It gets it wrong, the paywall model gets it wrong, absolutely gets it wrong. You conservatives are the ... American conservatives are the last people on the planet who need a paywall, because they’re non paywalled off from everything already. You don’t need a paywall, because we just saw with this ridiculous white house correspondents dinner the chances of a right of center comedian being booked for that are zero. The last few years, all the comedians, so-</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>called comedians have been writers from the daily show. You can't get into that. There's no paywall, they're excluding you. You don't have to pay anything. They just don't want you. You can't get in there. You can't get into the sitcoms, you can't get into the motion pictures, you can't get into the pop music business. You can't get into the universities, you can't get into the grade schools, you can't get into the mainstream churches. The American right is already non paywalled off from all the most important cultural levers of society. Cary Katz and his idiotic CRTV model, he pitched us this show for years, and he said, "It's so important in this election season that Mark is on TV." Yes, because in an election season, you've got 49% and you persuade another 2%, and then you've got 51% and you win the election. You can't do that if you're in the paywalled echo chamber, which is what Cary Katz has set up. I don't think that's a useful ... I've got nothing against those guys, but it's not what politics is. There's something particularly dangerous for the ride. You always want to be, a successful campaign, you want to be taking it to the enemy's turf. You want to be taking it to your opponent's turf. You don't say, "I'm going to be paywalled off in my echo chamber." I think that is not useful, not helpful, it was not what I was meant to be doing, and I don't think actually there's much of a future in that. I think it's rather sad actually...In fact, Cary Katz, one of his ... He apparently thought that I was on some kind of gang rape sabbatical with Europe. That's how he kept referring to it. I was talking to gang rape victims in Europe, and he thought it</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			<p>was a Sabbatical, because who doesn't like to take a sabbatical among gang rape victims...My \$4,150,000 postal order from Cary Katz will be arriving any day now...By the way, if you haven't yet entered out competition, Cary Katz and CRTV sued me for five million dollars, and threw a heap of garbage into their legal complaint...If you're the winning entry, you will win a one year subscription to CRTV, and if you come in second place, you will win two subscriptions to CRTV. You saw that joke coming."</p>				
65.	05/01/18	Third Period	<p>"I have, alas, spent the entirety of the last year in 'confidential binding arbitration' with CRTV and its student-loan billionaire boss Cary Katz. On February 21st Judge Gordon informed CRTV that they'd lost the case and sleazebag Katz called us 24 hours later to announce that he declined to be bound by the 'binding arbitration' he'd put us through and would never pay what Judge Gordon had ordered him to. I know some of you have questions about all that, and I'm happy to take them and answer them candidly, and indeed (as the show goes on) ever more indiscreetly. A good place to start is with this piece by his fellow poker aficionados:</p> <p><i>PokerGO Owner Cary Katz Sues His Own Company For \$20Million</i></p> <p>These guys appear to have the scofflaw deadbeat's number:</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "Live Around the Planet: Tuesday May 1 st "	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p><i>Billionaire poker high-roller Cary Katz has started a \$20million legal action against his own company, apparently to avoid paying a debt he owes, and putting the future of subscription TV channel PokerGO at risk in the process. The 48-year old businessman and highroller crusher from Las Vegas filed a lawsuit last week against CRTV (Conservative Review TV), a company which he is part-owner of and which owns Poker Central and its live poker subscription channel PokerGO, leading many in the industry to fear for its future should Katz bankrupt his own company... Discussion on the 2+2 forum helped to clarify some of the finer points of the highstakes internal battle, ‘SrslySirius’ stating: ‘So CRTV fired a radio host, tried to sue him, and the whole thing catastrophically blew up in their faces for a -\$14 million swing. What happens to the WSOP broadcasting rights if PokerGo ceases to exist or gets dismantled and sold for scrap?’ Michael Josem, former PokerStars and current CoinPoker Head of Security, is another who finds Katz’s recent actions beyond bizarre, tweeting... ‘What the hell is wrong with Poker Central founder Cary Katz? He’s now suing himself to avoid honouring a contract he made.’</i></p> <p>The poker guys seem to pick up the stink of Katz rather more easily than his talent at CRTV does. As you know, he’s also re-suing me, this time for a mere \$5 million... First prize is a year’s subscription to CRTV. Second prize is (all together now) two subscriptions to CRTV.</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
65a.	05/03/18	Third Period	It's also considerably less than, say, my own legal bills after the scofflaws at CRTV broke my contract and put me through a year of 'binding arbitration' that Cary Katz and his sleazy business partners ('Governor' Pete Wilson of California, etc) are now refusing to be bound by (having lost the case). But, for most Americans, 125 grand in legal bills for a case you're not even a party to is a big chunk of change...I promise we shall be here long after CRTV is gone...Oh, and we have a grand competition: As you may know, Cary Katz and CRTV have decided to re-sue me, this time for a mere \$5 million. Their legal complaint includes as part of Exhibit C my January Song of the Week essay on 'Oh Happy Day' - which doesn't mention Katz but which he claims nevertheless is derogatory and defamatory of him. So we're running a competition to help Katz's unfortunate lawyer find something actionable in that 'HappyDay' column. First prize is a year's subscription to CRTV, and second prize is (all together now) two subscriptions.	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline "The Process is the Punishment"	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Defamation (tort) Confidentiality	Fact OIDF
65b.	05/04/18	Third Period	Introducing Responding Party, the producer of The Rush Limbaugh Show referred to Responding Party being the victim of smears.	Mark Steyn and/or Emcee	Reagan Day Dinner, Lakeland, Florida	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
66.	On or around 5/7/18	Third Period	Posted on SteynOnline site: "Scofflaws and Deadbeats Debt Clock"	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline homepage	Defamation (tort)	OIDF False Fact

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			This clock remained on the home page of the SteynOnline site as of 10/3/18 with no correction for the portion of the award that was vacated. It remained on article homepages thereafter though.			Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
67.	05/07/18	Third Period	“Replying to @hahabirdpumpkin @LeslieLoftisTX @crtv's scofflaw deadbeat owner @carykatz has told us he will NEVER pay what Judge Bransten & Judge Gordon have ordered them to pay. Apparently, @crtv's definition of ‘constitutional conservatism’ doesn't include outmoded concepts like the rule of law. ”	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
68.	05/07/18	Third Period	“It was two months after CRTV fired me, broke my contract and left me on the hook for a huge sixfigure sum in production and payroll expenses, and I was under sustained assault from almost every angle. I'll give you merely the weirdest example to illustrate how comprehensive the onslaught was: Shortly before we launched the Club and in the midst of all manner of legal distractions, a CRTV security guard stole the Mark Steyn Show cat tree. It was a custom-built cat tree from a company in Ontario designed to bear the weight of the corpulent feline who stars in this music video. Fortunately for the poor overweight fellow, the guard was photographed in the act of stealing the cat tree and loading it into the truck. And a very nimble colleague of mine coolly texted the photo to him as he was driving back to southern Vermont and suggested he might want to turn around and bring back his illgotten gains. He did, mainly because he	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Year Two Begins”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>couldn't withstand official scrutiny (he was working illegally for CRTV). Even so: stealing a cat-tree? On orders? I mean, who does that? I've been in dicey spots in Iraq and Bosnia and elsewhere over the years, but I've never felt so exhaustively assailed as the moment I got an email saying my cat tree had just been nicked: Even in bad times, that's not something one expects to have to worry about. When CRTV screwed me over, I assumed I was in a fairly conventional contract dispute. I quickly learned it was far more than that. Last Friday, Bo Snedley from 'The Rush Limbaugh Show' introduced me on stage in Polk County, Florida, and, congratulating me on my recent court victory, noted that I had been subject to a campaign of 'smears'. And afterwards a few people asked what he had meant by that. Well, it wasn't enough for me to lose my CRTV gig...So I'm extremely grateful to Rush, Mr Snedley, Kraig Kitchin (one of our trial witnesses - see page 11 of Judge Gordon's final order), Mike, Allie, Clay and everyone else I've worked with at EIB for years for making me feel welcome in the guest-host chair during those dark days of last spring...But this time last year I was facing the meltdown of my entire American career, from mysteriously withdrawn speaking engagements to suddenly canceled guest appearances on TV. We were determined to honor our contractual obligations to staffers who'd stayed loyal, yet Cary Katz had left us drowning in debt and I had no work or prospect of any in the United States. We launched the Club in a desperate hour - and, as I said, I had great difficulty trying not to let that desperation show. I didn't entirely</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>succeed. I subsequently heard that Chris Crane, the CRTV executive who called me ‘Pussy Steyn’ and promised to "put this motherf**ker on the hook for everything" (see page eight of Judge Gordon's order), read the Club launch at SteynOnline and scuffed that I was a "loser" reduced to ‘begging for money’. I am many things. I am an effete Canadian. I like show tunes and cat albums. But one thing I am not is a loser. I won. And in this matter it is Crane and his CRTV colleagues who are the designated losers, big time. And that will not change. I have no wish to say any more about this last hellish year. But the longer the shabby and dishonorable CRTV resists complying with Judge Bransten and Judge Gordon's orders, the more inevitable it is that more will emerge. But that's their choice, not mine - as it was their choice all along, to fire me, to breach my contract, to sue me for ten million dollars, to re-sue me for five million dollars. The choice of losers who can't quit losing. As for ‘begging for money’, well, no, we don't. Like CRTV, we're in the content business - and some of our content reaches a far higher audience than any of theirs. We're pleased to report that our TV shows with Jordan Peterson, Douglas Murray and Lindsay Shepherd have between them stacked up a combined audience of almost 900,000. That's not exactly viral, as the young 'uns say, and it's not like the millions and millions of listeners and viewers I have when I guest-host for Rush or Tucker, but it's surprisingly competitive with most US cable networks - at 8pm the other Monday night, for example, CNN's Ashleigh Banfield on HLN had a total audience of 155,000. So our</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>viewership isn't bad for a trio of substantive long-form interviews with serious persons arguing their corners in a compelling and persuasive manner. We intend to do more of that in our second year. We also provide more specialized content: But one thing we will never do is wall up our political content. As I wrote a year ago:</p> <p><i>One aspect of the CRTV model I was never comfortable with was the subscription-only aspect. It was never meant to be part of the plan for The Mark Steyn Show. Indeed, the honcho who pitched it to me said that 'Mark needs to be on TV at this critical time in history.' It's a weird thing to be told that you need to be out there saving western civilization - but only for premium subscribers. Anybody in the ideas business wants their ideas out in the world, available to all and tested by all. I felt that particularly strongly after taking part in last year's Munk Debate before an audience of upscale leftie Torontonians on the subject of the 'refugee' crisis afflicting the western world. I walked on stage at Roy Thomson Hall with my debating partner Nigel Farage (a few weeks before his Brexit triumph) to find the pre-show survey had almost 80 per cent of the crowd opposed to us. By the end of the night, Nigel and I had, by one of the biggest margins in Munk Debate history, persuaded a significant percentage of the audience to change its opinion - simply by stating the reality of the situation in a compelling and persuasive manner. That's the key word: persuasion. And you can't persuade people over to your side if you're holed up</i></p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			<p><i>behind a subscription paywall talking to people who already agree with you.</i></p> <p>We've stayed true to that, and we now provide more free content than at any time in our fifteen-year history - columns and essays, SteynPosts and Mark's Mailbox, On the Town and Song of the Week.”</p>				
68a.	05/07/18	Third Period	<p>Mark Steyn replies to a comment:</p> <p>By coincidence, Carl, the first thing Cary Katz did after locking me out of the studio was to hire some Jerry Springer producer to make three crappy pilots in there, none of which went anywhere - and whose under-the-table cash payments resulted in at least two members of the CRTV team being investigated for Vermont unemployment-benefit fraud, kicked off the rolls and ordered to reimburse the state. I happen to know all that because the Vt Dept of Labor interviewed me about it.</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Comments to Year Two Begins	<p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p>	<p>False Fact</p> <p>OIDF</p>
69.	05/08/18	Third Period	<p>“As you may know, Cary Katz and CRTV have decided to re-sue me, this time for a mere \$5 million. Their legal complaint includes as part of Exhibit C my January Song of the Week essay on ‘Oh Happy Day’ - which doesn't mention Katz but which he claims nevertheless is derogatory and defamatory of him. So we're running a competition to help Katz's unfortunate lawyer find something actionable in that ‘Happy Day’ column. First prize is a year's subscription</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Friendly Neighborhood Schneiderman”	<p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p> <p>Confidentiality</p>	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			to CRTV, and second prize is (all together now) two subscriptions. ”				
70.	05/08/18	Third Period	<p>“PS Don't forget our brand new competition arising from CRTV deadbeat scofflaw Cary Katz's decision to come back for more: The good news is that CRTV sued Steyn for ten million dollars, and lost,comprehensively. The bad news is that, late on Friday, CRTV re-sued Steyn for five million dollars... The new complaint is 200 pages long and includes as exhibits two of Steyn's recent Song of the Week selections. Apparently CRTV's head honcho Cary Katz is taking time out of his hectic schedule of suing himself to argue that Mark's essays on ‘We Are the Champions’ and ‘Oh Happy Day’ are disparaging and defamatory of him. If you can identify anything in my celebration of ‘Oh Happy Day’ that is the least bit defamatory of the self-suing sleazebag Katz, do let us know by emailing here. On Friday I'll pick the best entry and buy the lucky winner a year's subscription to CRTV. Second prize is (all together now) two subscriptions to CRTV. Yeah, it's no side-splitter like the Stormy Daniels climate-change gag, but then I've got a much smaller writing team...”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Sketch Comedy and Sketchier Cases	<p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p> <p>Confidentiality</p>	OIDF
71.	05/10/18	Third Period	<p>“Speaking of which, don't forget our brand new competition arising from CRTV deadbeat scofflaw Cary Katz's decision to come back for more: The good news is that CRTV sued Steyn for ten million dollars, and lost, comprehensively. The bad news is that, late on Friday, CRTV re-sued Steyn for five million dollars...The new complaint is 200 pages long and</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Eat Your Heart Out Alec Baldwin”	<p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p>	OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>includes as exhibits two of Steyn's recent Song of the Week selections. Apparently CRTV's head honcho Cary Katz is taking time out of his hectic schedule of suing himself to argue that Mark's essays on 'We Are the Champions' and "Oh Happy Day' are disparaging and defamatory of him. If you can identify anything in my celebration of 'Oh Happy Day' that is the least bit defamatory of the self-suing sleazebag Katz, do let us know by emailing here - but make sure you get your entry to us by midnight Eastern/9pm Pacific tomorrow night, Friday. Over the weekend we'll pick the best entries and announce the lucky winners on Monday. First prize is a year's subscription to CRTV. Second prize is two subscriptions to CRTV, but you surely saw that coming. We would have a third prize, but it would count as cruel and unjust punishment.”</p>			Confidentiality	
72.	05/11/18	Third Period	<p>“However, by sheer coincidence, the verdict in the CRTV vs Steyn case pre-dated our birthday observances, so I feel I'm Number One in the courthouse if not on the charts, and Cary Katz and CRTV are Number One on the all-time loser chart, as Sinatra sagely observed in 'Same Old Song and Dance'. And oddly enough it was CRTV's floppo lawsuit that planted the seeds of this show. As I noted the other day, it wasn't enough for them to fire me from my CRTV gig.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Non-Stop Number Ones”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
73.	05/11/18	Third Period	“Replying to @jwalk1229 @Mpagano1Pagano and 2 others It's pretty simple, Joan. Per the NY Supreme Court, @CRTV and their scofflaw boss @CaryKatz broke my contract, and the bums are now refusing to abide by the orders of two judges. Cary Katz is the Al Sharpton of ‘conservatives’. Verdict here: ” (with banner picture of CRTV v. Steyn The Verdict)	Mark Steyn	Twitter @MarkSteynOnline	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
74.	05/11/18	Third Period	“Oh, and we have a first-birthday competition, open to all: As you may know, Cary Katz and CRTV, despite losing comprehensively in their \$10 million suit against Steyn, have decided to re-sue him, this time for a mere \$5 million. Their legal complaint includes as part of Exhibit C his January Song of the Week essay on ‘Oh Happy Day’ - which doesn't mention Katz but which he claims nevertheless is derogatory and defamatory of him. So we're running a competition to help Katz's crackerjack legal team (Eric M George, Benjamin Scheibe, ‘Governor’ Pete Wilson, Jeffrey Mitchell, Kathryn Stuart, Diane Torosyan, Jacqueline E Baker and Claudia Bonilla - we may have neglected one or two) find something actionable in that ‘Happy Day’ column. First prize is a year's subscription to CRTV, and second prize is (all together now) two subscriptions. ”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “The Interrogator”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	
75.	05/12/18	Third Period	“As mentioned above, despite comprehensively losing their case against Steyn, Cary Katz's sleazy CRTV has decided to sue Mark all over again - this time for a mere \$5 million. In addition, the deadbeat poker-playing student-loan billionaire	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Se’nnight of Steyn, April 30-May 6”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>is also suing CRTV - in other words, suing himself to make his own company sufficiently bankrupt to be unable to pay us. This devious maneuver has attracted little attention from the conservative media, but the poker press is all over it: <i>PokerGo Owner's Bizarre \$20 Million Lawsuit Makes Headlines</i></p> <p>Also: <i>Poker Central, CRTV Sued By Their Owner</i></p> <p>And one more: <i>Billionaire Poker Player Sues His Own Company</i></p> <p>Mark will be in Nevada District Court in Clark County on May 29th in an attempt to prevent this obvious nonsense. If you're one of our many Vegas readers, do swing by and say hello. As for CRTV's new suit against Steyn, the complaint is 200 pages long and includes as exhibits two of Mark's recent Song of the Week selections. Apparently Cary Katz asserts that Steyn's essay on 'Oh, Happy Day' is disparaging and defamatory of him. So we're running a special competition for readers to identify anything actionable in that 'Happy Day' column. Email your entry here, and Mark will select the best at the end of this coming week. The winner will receive a year's subscription to CRTV. The second-placed entry will</p>			Confidentiality	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			win two subscriptions to CRTV, but you probably saw that joke coming.”				
75a.	05/16/18	Second Period	“Along the way, we also celebrated the first anniversary of The Mark Steyn Club and deplored CRTV's scofflaw deadbeat sleazebag self-suing bum of a CEO, Cary Katz. As listeners know, a few weeks ago the CRTV vs Steyn case finally ended, with CRTV's total defeat and my victory. Katz, is now openly defying two judges and has vowed never to pay what he owes. Which is why tomorrow I'll be in Las Vegas, in Clark County District Court. I understand that there is an overlap between aficionados of SteynOnline and fans of certain of the CRTV hosts, but you would be better off suggesting to the latter that they man up to their seedy boss and advise Katz to do the right thing and comply with the New York Supreme Court's decision. You cannot draw sweet water from a foul well, and right now Katz's fetid sewage is dripping all over CRTV.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Franchise Man vs Burqua Girl”	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Defamation (tort)	Fact OIDF
75b.	06/15/18	Second Period	Introducing Responding Party, as the recipient of the first George Jonas Award, the Lord Black of Crossharbour congratulated Responding Party on his spectacular legal victory over “this absolute scoundrel Mr. Katz, with whom he was briefly in business” and called Judge Gordon’s award “the greatest act of accidental justice produced by the American legal system in decades.”	Mark Steyn and/or Emcee	The George Jonas Award, Toronto, Ontario	Disparagement Defamation	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts²
76.	06/20/18	Third Period	<p>“In February 2017, Cary Katz and CRTV fired me, canceled my TV show, and sued me for \$10 million. They lost, comprehensively.</p> <p>At which point they decided to double-down on their lawlessness and mendacity and refused to be bound by the judge's award of damages. Within days of CRTV's defeat going public ('Conservative Pundit Wins \$4M From Network That Fired Him'), they then re-sued me, this time for a combined \$20 million...in that I'm suing to get the deadbeats CRTV to pay up...the collusive Katz and CRTV are the defendants-in-intervention...Katz and his latest sock-puppet entity 'Galaxy Media' (which operates out of the same offices as CRTV and shares the same 'manager', Elizabeth Wood) have filed multiple fraudulent UCC claims against CRTV in jurisdictions from Virginia to California (see page 14 here). Any further suits by frivolous litigant Katz and/or his various shell entities will be posted here. As I've stated, if and when we see a dime from CRTV (and believe me I'm not holding my breath), it is my intention to donate whatever's left after legal costs to Hillsdale College and the Gatestone Institute. Let's hope Katz and CRTV stop their legal terrorism so that there's something left for these honorable institutions.”</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Katz & CRTV vs Steyn: A Cut-Out'n'Keep Guide”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF
77.	06/20/18	Third Period	<p>“Cary Katz and CRTV were suing me for \$10 million. (They lost.) At any rate, the coming weeks will take me somewhat off the grid WiFi-wise, and that can't be helped because it's a very small window of opportunity. Katz and CRTV have re-</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Note to My Readers”	Defamation (tort)	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>sued me in multiple jurisdictions, this time for a combined \$20 million. (For the benefit of the many lawyers among our readership, we've got links to the various suits here.)...I'd also like to clarify that \$4 million-and-counting that Judge Gordon awarded me on February 21st. How am I enjoying that four mil? Am I making like Scrooge McDuck and ploughing dollar bills back and forth in my John Deere all day long Well, no. When the judge made her 'interim' award in January, I quite liked the idea of getting all that dough, especially after what that depraved goon has put me through, and the hundreds of thousands of dollars he left me on the hook for in production costs for shows he aired, and the exhausted savings accounts and maxed-out bank cards and ruined credit ratings it took for us to pay those bills and meet payroll. I thought about all the work I had to put on hold - books, albums, a DVD project - and figured the money would go toward finishing those, and leave enough over for a sports car or a yacht or some other bauble. But very quickly I realized that I don't want a dirty man's dirty money going toward my DVD or book research, or even my sports car. In fact, I don't want a single penny from Cary Katz in my bank account. ...So, as I said, my plan was to divvy up the damages Judge Gordon imposed on CRTV between Hillsdale and Gatestone, and then get on with my life. Unfortunately, Katz hasn't coughed up a penny of what he owes, and indeed, twenty-four hours after Judge Gordon's decision, the dishonorable unprincipled thieving</p>			Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			sociopathic bum told us that he would never pay what he called her 'absurd' award. We shall see about that."				
78.	06/24/18	Third Period	"we have also provided a handy guide to CRTV founder Cary Katz's four (at the time of writing) lawsuits with Mark. We will update this page as Katz adds to his swelling number of cases against Steyn." (links to a guide saying Katz sued Steyn in Nevada for \$15 million)	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "A Se'nnight of Steyn, June 18-24"	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	False Fact
78a.	07/25/18	Third Period	<p>Refused to enter into a protective order and filed the Nevada supplement containing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> emails relating to the formation of the parties agreement (in dispute in the arbitration) and on each page (four times) contained Katz's personal cellular telephone number; a deposition exhibit stamp, bates labeling, confidential designation, and exhibit numbers from the previous arbitration a CRTV organization chart that was obtained in connection with the arbitration, it was produced as CRTV00019844, but the version of the document used has removed the bates numbers. 	Counsel for Mark Steyn as an agent of Respondent s	Nevada State Court	Confidentiality	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="443 402 1224 578">• an email from Mark Levin to various @CRTV.com addresses regarding the dismal content of Steyn’s Christmas Show. Howes was not an addressee and obtained this email as part of the arbitration, as it was bates labeled (CRTV00099213). <li data-bbox="443 618 1205 979">• excerpts of Katz’s deposition, taken in connection with the prior proceeding. The Steyn Parties ostensibly sought to establish that loans to CRTV were not loans, when the deposition testimony establishes the opposite. The excerpt contains confidential financial information and information regarding the operations of CRTV. The deposition testimony was used to publicly disclose confidential information, namely, the amount of investment into CRTV rather than for a productive purpose. <li data-bbox="443 1019 1224 1230">• an internal February 8, 2017 email from Katz to Chris Crane and Mark Levin. Howes was not an addressee and obtained this email as part of the arbitration, as it was bates labeled (CRTV000166284), and was subsequently marked as an exhibit by the Steyn Parties at the arbitration. 				
78b.	07/26/18	Third Period	Unnecessarily filed, unredacted, CRTV’s Operating Agreement and refused to stipulate to a protective order.	Counsel for Mark Steyn as an agent of	Nevada State Court	Confidentiality	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts²
				Respondents			
79.	8/07/18	Third Period	“If @CRTV founder/owner #carykatz had two brain cells to rub together he’d pay @MarkSteynOnline his money and move on. But this dummy, along with his henchmen, want to keep this thing alive steynonline.com/documents/8748...This won’t be good for business @EWErickson @marklevinshow @scrowder	Tim Boggs	Twitter @TFBoggs	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	OIDF
80.	08/12/18	Third Period	“CRTV continue to deny that they've lost their suit against Steyn and to refuse to pay up and move on. For those interested, we've provided a handy guide to CRTV deadbeat Cary Katz's multiple lawsuits against Mark. We will update this page as the sleazy Katz adds to his swelling number of cases against Steyn.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Se’night of Steyn, August 6-12”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	False Fact OIDF
81.	08/14/18	Third Period	“I was in Las Vegas a couple of months ago – whatever it was – for one of these suits involving Cary Katz and CRTV. I can’t remember which one it is. There’s so many of them. In fact, actually I was served with a new suit while I was there. He had one of his goons come up and serve me with a defamation suit. While I was promenading through town...The darkest hour of the CRTV business. When we got into trouble with those bums. Because they listen to this show the CRTV lawyers. In fact they make, I think CRTV’s lawyers make up something like 47 percent of the audience	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Question and Answer	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			for this show. Because they're monitoring us all the time. This is a shout out for you guys." (available at https://www.steynonline.com/audio-video/282.mp3)				
82.	08/26/18	Third Period	“CRTV continue to deny that they've lost their suit against Steyn and to refuse to pay up and move on. For those interested, we've provided a handy guide to CRTV deadbeat Cary Katz's multiple lawsuits against Mark. We will update this page as the sleazy Katz adds to his swelling number of cases against Steyn.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “A Se'nnight of Steyn, August 20-26”	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	False Fact OIDF
83.	08/28/18	Third Period	“At least she’s suing 15 different people. This scofflaw, deadbeat, criminal bum in Las Vegas Cary Katz, he’s just filed 15 different suits against me. And, uh, you know you’re listening ... uh ... what’s the name of the ... Eric M. George he’s the sleazy lawyer. They listen to this show all the time. ‘Cause they find out what I have to say about them. His sleazy bum client has filed a gazillion different suits against me...Something to like about this transgendered person with the world’s most hirsute genitals, at least he’s suing different people. These are the only stories you’re ever going to have in the future.”	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline Question and Answer (audio)	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	False Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			(available at: https://www.steynonline.com/audio-video/283.mp3)				
84.	09/20/18	Third Period	<p>“In February 2017, Cary Katz and CRTV fired me, canceled my TV show, and sued me for \$10 million. They lost, comprehensively. At which point they decided to double-down on their lawlessness and mendacity and refused to be bound by the judge's award of damages. Within days of CRTV's defeat going public (‘Conservative Pundit Wins \$4M From Network That Fired Him’), they then re-sued me, this time for a combined \$20 million. So, for the benefit of the many lawyers among our readership who purport to be interested in this kind of thing, here's a handy guide to vexatious litigant Katz's many cases against me (we'll update as more are added):</p> <p>1) Here's Judge Gordon's original award, which is now before the New York Supreme Court. In this case, I'm the plaintiff, in that I'm suing to get the deadbeats CRTV to pay up.</p> <p>2) Here's Cary Katz's self-suing bollocks in the Clark County Court, Nevada, where Katz's left buttock is suing Katz's right buttock into pseudo-insolvency as a fraud upon the Court in order to evade Judge Gordon's decision. And here's my motion to intervene, which has since been accepted by the judge. So, in this case, I'm the plaintiff-in-intervention, and the collusive Katz and CRTV are the defendants-in-intervention. Understandably they'd like to keep much of this</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Katz & CRTV vs Steyn: A Cut-Out’n’Keep Guide	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	Fact OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>bogus self-suing stunt sealed from public view, but in Las Vegas Judge Johnson is having none of it...</p> <p>Katz's fourth suit is CRTV's return to the American Arbitration Association to sue me second time around for breach of contract, for \$5 million. In this case, I'm the respondent. For the moment this proceeding is 'confidential', so I can't post anything. But that may change...In addition, Katz and his latest sock-puppet entity 'Galaxy Media' (which operates out of the same offices as CRTV and shares the same 'manager', Elizabeth Wood) have filed multiple fraudulent UCC claims against CRTV in jurisdictions from Virginia to California (see page 14 here).</p> <p>Any further suits by frivolous litigant Katz and/or his various shell entities will be posted here. As I've stated, if and when we see a dime from CRTV (and believe me I'm not holding my breath), it is my intention to donate whatever's left after legal costs to Hillsdale College and the Gatestone Institute. Let's hope Katz and CRTV stop their legal terrorism so that there's something left for these honorable institutions."</p>				
85.	10/21/18	Third Period	<p>As many readers know, the low point of Cary Katz and CRTV's ten-million-dollar lawsuit against Steyn came when one of their goons attempted to steal TJ's cat tree. CRTV continues to deny that they've lost their suit against Mark and, rather than pay up and move on, the serial litigant Katz has recently undergone his own dead Katz bounce in both the</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: "A Se'nnight of Steyn, October 15-21"	<p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p>	<p>Fact</p> <p>OIDF</p>

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts²
			New York Supreme Court and the American Arbitration Association (where the great TJ cat-tree heist is part of the case - see Statement 68 of CRTV's claim here). For those interested, we've provided a handy guide to the multiple Katz/CRTV lawsuits against Mark, linking to the most recent court orders and other developments. But, whatever happens, that litigious creep is never getting TJ's cat tree.			Confidentiality (Compendium)	
86.	11/04/18	Third Period	During the introduction for Responding Party, it was mentioned that The Mark Steyn Show had been cancelled by Katz and CRTV, who then sued Responding Party for ten million dollars and lost.	Mark Steyn and/or emcee	The New Orleans Investment Conference, New Orleans, Louisiana	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
87.	11/06/18	Third Period	[7:17] The other good news for those who follow the boring suit with Cary Katz and CRTV, they are the guys who tried to steal TJ's cat tree by the way. It's actually the subject of ongoing litigation that cat tree, that's how stupid and tedious CRTV and Cary Katz are. They actually have thrown in the towel on about half of the first suit if you recall when they sued me and they lost and they were ordered to pay damages, they actually wired some money while I was on the plane. I think it was yesterday, they wired some money, they've thrown in the towel ... They've got these three current suits really, and I guess the way to look at this is that they've thrown in the towel on half of one of the three suits, so there's	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Question and Answer	Defamation (tort) Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
		<p>really only two and a half to go. The rest of that, first suit is going to the appellate court and the other two are staggering on. I think one's in federal court and one's in arbitration. I'm falling asleep just thinking about it.</p> <p>The legal term for all of this is partial satisfaction. If you remember a few months ago, I had picked We Are the Champions and Oh Happy Day as a couple of our songs of the week on Sunday, and Cary Katz who is nothing if not totally solipsistic was convinced that those songs were getting at him that I was slightly suggesting that because he's a big loser who blew his lawsuit against me that we are the champions.</p> <p>I was somehow suggesting that we are the champions and that I had no time for losers and that he was the loser I had no time for. He sued me that We are the Champions and Oh Happy Day. Now that we of in legal terms received partial satisfaction, I'm going to be very careful not to pick I Can't Get No Satisfaction as our song of the week because he will then sue me, because it shouldn't be I Can't Get No Satisfaction. I should be singing, 'I just got some partial satisfaction.'</p> <p>[20:00] I'm always interested because of these stupid cases with, well, he doesn't like it when I mention his name, Cary Katz, so I'm going to call him the right dishonorable Sir Scofflaw McDeadbeat. Then he won't know that I'm really</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p> talking about Cary Katz. Anyway, the right dishonorable Sir Scofflaw McDeadbeat, and because of these cases, I always pay great attention to judges' arguments because I like hearing what they say in my case. </p>				
88.	11/22/18	Third Period	<p> On this Thanksgiving Day 2018 I remain especially grateful to all those readers, listeners and viewers who stuck with me through the dark days of 2017. Surveying my legal bills from Cary Katz and CRTV's multiple meritless harassing suits, and an outrageous Big Climate case that one way or the other would have been wrapped up years ago in any other jurisdiction, I would be lying if I did not say that regrets, I have a few. </p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: A Thanksgiving Sampler	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS	
89.	11/22/18	Third Period	<p> ““You know, you're trying to relitigate something that has passed, gone, finished.” Judge Eileen Bransten to CRTV counsel Jeffrey Mitchell of Browne George Ross New York Supreme Court, September 13th 2018. In February 2017, Cary Katz and CRTV fired me, canceled my TV show, and sued me for \$10 million. They lost, comprehensively - that's to say, on every single one of their claims. At which point they decided to double-down on their lawlessness and mendacity and refused to be bound by the judge's award of damages. Within days of CRTV's defeat going public ('Conservative Pundit Wins \$4M From Network That Fired Him'), they then re-sued me, this time for a combined \$20 million. So, for the benefit of the many lawyers among our </p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Katz & CRTV vs Steyn: A Cut-Out'n'Keep Guide	<p> Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS </p> <p> Confidentiality (Compendium) </p> <p> Defamation (tort) </p>	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>readership who purport to be interested in this kind of thing, here's a handy guide to vexatious litigant Katz's tedious and unavailing cases against me (we'll update as more are added):</p> <p>1) Here's Judge Gordon's original award, which came up before the New York Supreme Court. In this case, I'm the petitioner, in that I'm suing to get the deadbeats CRTV to pay up. In September CRTV attempted to do an end-run round Judge Gordon's decision with a last-minute request for an 'amended' judgment that Cary Katz had beat the rap. In New York Judge Bransten called their maneuver 'ridiculous' (page 12). Under the sclerotic processes of the New York courts, it took nine months between filing the petition and entry of judgment. But here, very belatedly, is the actual judgment - which is now going to appeal.</p> <p>2) Here's Cary Katz's self-suing bollocks in the Clark County Court, Nevada, where Katz's left buttock is suing Katz's right buttock into pseudo-insolvency as a fraud upon the Court in order to evade Judge Gordon's decision. And here's my motion to intervene, which made me the plaintiff-in-intervention, and the collusive Katz and CRTV the defendants-in-intervention. Mysteriously, Katz 'settled' with CRTV the day after our intervention (if only all their suits were so easily disposed of), so there was nothing to intervene in. However, Judge Johnson was under no illusion about these phonies: 'In so concluding, this Court appreciates the position taken by MR. STEYN, MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>(US), INC. and OAK HILL MEDIA, INC. the lawsuit filed by MR. KATZ against CRTV LLC, a limited liability company of which he owns the majority of units, is a sham and, in essence, results in a fraudulent conveyance.’ Understandably they’d like to keep much of this bogus self-suing stunt sealed from public view, but Judge Johnson is having none of it, and has ruled that merely avoiding a litigant’s ‘embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation’ is no reason to conceal evidence. Preach it, sister.</p> <p>3) Here’s Katz’s personal defamation suit for \$15 million, originally in Nevada District Court but which we had removed to federal court. In this case, I’m the defendant, so here’s my reply to Katz, written in the rather tedious call-and-response format. Nonetheless, connoisseurs may enjoy certain paragraphs along the way, including 21-23. Bottom line:</p> <p>‘Defendants admit that they have made true, non-defamatory statements that correctly describe Plaintiff Katz as ‘deadbeat,’ ‘scofflaw,’ ‘dishonorable,’ ‘criminal’...’</p> <p>Oh, and he’s also an extortionist, since he’s told me he’s filing these new suits in order to extort my agreement to taking a lower amount of damages than Judge Gordon ordered.</p> <p>4) Katz’s fourth suit is CRTV’s return to the American Arbitration Association to sue me second time around for</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>breach of contract, for \$5 million. In this case, the pathetic loser is reduced to complaining that by selecting ‘Oh, Happy Day’ and ‘We Are the Champions’ as recent Songs of the Week I’m somehow getting at him. So, as you’ll have deduced, this case, like all his cases, is going nowhere. On September 24th, the Arbitrator threw out (or ‘precluded’ in legalese) 39 per cent of CRTV’s ‘statements at issue’, on the grounds that they had been decided (against CRTV) in the previous suit. And he hasn’t even got to ‘Oh, Happy Day’, ‘We Are the Champions’ and ‘Same Old Song and Dance’ (an obscure Sinatra single, the citation of which the buffoon Katz claims defames him), all of which are in the remaining 61 per cent (Statement 33 on). But we like the way this second Final Award is looking so far. As the Arbitrator has ruled: ‘Since this order is a final disposition of the issue the preclusion of First Period claims, it shall be incorporated by reference into a Final Award at an appropriate juncture in this matter.’ So with 39 per cent of the match played we are so far the champions, yet again. So I’m the petitioner, the defendant, the respondent, and the plaintiff-in-intervention. That’s not quite a full set: I’ve never been a counter-claimant-in-intervention, but give it another week or two...In addition, Katz and his latest sock-puppet entity ‘Galaxy Media’ (which operates out of the same offices as CRTV and shares the same ‘manager’, Elizabeth Wood) have filed multiple fraudulent UCC claims against CRTV in jurisdictions from Virginia to California (see page 14 here).</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>Any further suits by frivolous litigant Katz and/or his various shell entities will be posted here. As I've stated, if and when we see a dime from CRTV (and believe me I'm not holding my breath), it is my intention to donate whatever's left after legal costs to Hillsdale College and the Gatestone Institute. Let's hope Katz and CRTV stop their legal terrorism so that there's something left for these honorable institutions. PS I enjoyed this from Jeff in the comments:</p> <p>But the Katz came back the very next day The Katz came back, they knew he was a grifter But the Katz came back, he was just going to sue away! Definitive version of that song here.</p>				
90.	12/03/18	Third Period	<p>“Speaking of last calls, as several SteynOnline readers noticed, sometime late yesterday evening, Cary Katz’s CRTV network ceased to exist. They've now ‘merged’ with Glenn Beck's The Blaze to become part of Blaze TV. For what it's worth, back in 2016 I advised Katz and his potty-mouthed Chief Content Officer Chris Crane against the CRTV branding, and not only because I have fond memories of the Cameroons. But certain persons insisted on it, so I'm interested to see it's taken them two years to catch up with me and figure out it’s going nowhere. So far Katz’s second largest shareholder seems to be enjoying it about as well as you might expect, and about as much as the audience. As to</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Last Call”	<p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p> <p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Confidentiality</p>	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>what that means for who's now suing me, there will be more to say in the days ahead.</p>				
91.	12/09/18	Third	<p>“CRTV, LLC is likewise defunct. So two years after CRTV sued me for ten million dollars and a year after they lost, comprehensively, we’re still here and they’re not. As to what that means for the suits below, there will be more to say in the days ahead.</p> <p>In February 2017, Cary Katz and CRTV fired me, canceled my TV show, and sued me for \$10 million. They lost, comprehensively – that’s to say, on every single one of their claims.</p> <p>At which point they decided to double-down on their lawlessness and mendacity and refused to be bound by the judge’s award of damages. Within days of CRTV’s defeat going public (‘Conservative Pundit Wins \$4M From Network That Fired Him’), they then re-sued me, this time for a combined \$20 million. So, for the benefit of the many lawyers among our readership who purport to be interested in this kind of thing, here’s a handy guide to vexatious litigant Katz’s tedious and unavailing cases against me (we’ll update as more are added):</p> <p>1) Here’s Judge Gordon’s original award, which came up before the New York Supreme Court. In this case, I’m the petitioner, in that I’m suing to get the deadbeats CRTV to pay</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: “Katz & CRTV vs Steyn: A Cut-Out’n’Keep Guide	<p>Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS</p> <p>Defamation (tort)</p> <p>Confidentiality (Compendium)</p>	False OIDF

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>up. In September CRTV attempted to do an end-run round Judge Gordon’s decision with a last-minute request for an ‘amended’ judgment that Cary Katz had beat the rap. In New York Judge Bransten called their maneuver ‘ridiculous’ (page 12). Under the sclerotic processes of the New York courts, it took nine months between filing the petition and entry of judgment. But here, very belatedly, is the actual judgment - which is now going to appeal.</p> <p>2) Here’s Cary Katz's self-suing bollocks in the Clark County Court, Nevada, where Katz’s left buttock is suing Katz's right buttock into pseudo-insolvency as a fraud upon the Court in order to evade Judge Gordon's decision. And here’s my motion to intervene, which made me the plaintiff-in-intervention, and the collusive Katz and CRTV the defendants-in-intervention. Mysteriously, Katz ‘settled’ with CRTV the day after our intervention (if only all their suits were so easily disposed of), so there was nothing to intervene in. However, Judge Johnson was under no illusion about these phonies:</p> <p>‘In so concluding, this Court appreciates the position taken by MR. STEYN, MARK STEYN ENTERPRISES (US), INC. and OAK HILL MEDIA, INC. the lawsuit filed by MR. KATZ against CRTV LLC, a limited liability company of which he owns the majority of units, is a sham and, in essence, results in a fraudulent conveyance.’</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>Understandably they'd like to keep much of this bogus self-suing stunt sealed from public view, but Judge Johnson is having none of it, and has ruled that merely avoiding a litigant's 'embarrassment, incrimination, or exposure to further litigation' is no reason to conceal evidence. Preach it, sister.</p> <p>3) Here's Katz's personal defamation suit for \$15 million, originally in Nevada District Court but which we had removed to federal court. In this case, I'm the defendant, so here's my reply to Katz, written in the rather tedious call-and-response format. Nonetheless, connoisseurs may enjoy certain paragraphs along the way, including 21-23. Bottom line:</p> <p>'Defendants admit that they have made true, non-defamatory statements that correctly describe Plaintiff Katz as 'deadbeat,' 'scofflaw,' 'dishonorable,' 'criminal'...'</p> <p>Oh, and he's also an extortionist, since he's told me he's filing these new suits in order to extort my agreement to taking a lower amount of damages than Judge Gordon ordered.</p> <p>4) Katz's fourth suit is CRTV's return to the American Arbitration Association to sue me second time around for breach of contract, for \$5 million. In this case, the pathetic loser is reduced to complaining that by selecting 'Oh, Happy Day' and 'We Are the Champions' as recent Songs of the Week I'm somehow getting at him. So, as you'll have</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>deduced, this case, like all his cases, is going nowhere. On September 24th, the Arbitrator threw out (or ‘precluded’ in legalese) 39 per cent of CRTV’s ‘statements at issue’, on the grounds that they had been decided (against CRTV) in the previous suit. And he hasn’t even got to ‘Oh, Happy Day’, ‘We Are the Champions’ and ‘Same Old Song and Dance’ (an obscure Sinatra single, the citation of which the buffoon Katz claims defames him), all of which are in the remaining 61 per cent (Statement 33 on). But we like the way this second Final Award is looking so far. As the Arbitrator has ruled: ‘Since this order is a final disposition of the issue the preclusion of First Period claims, it shall be incorporated by reference into a Final Award at an appropriate juncture in this matter.’ So with 39 per cent of the match played we are so far the champions, yet again.</p> <p>So I’m the petitioner, the defendant, the respondent, and the plaintiff-in-intervention. That’s not quite a full set: I’ve never been a counter-claimant-in-intervention, but give it another week or two...</p> <p>In addition, Katz and his latest sock-puppet entity ‘Galaxy Media’ (which operates out of the same offices as CRTV and shares the same ‘manager’, Elizabeth Wood) have filed multiple fraudulent UCC claims against CRTV in jurisdictions from Virginia to California (see page 14 here).</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/ Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>Any further suits by frivolous litigant Katz and/or his various shell entities will be posted here. As I've stated, if and when we see a dime from CRTV (and believe me I'm not holding my breath), it is my intention to donate whatever's left after legal costs to Hillsdale College and the Gatestone Institute. Let's hope Katz and CRTV stop their legal terrorism so that there's something left for these honorable institutions.</p> <p>PS I enjoyed this from Jeff in the comments:</p> <p>But the Katz came back the very next day</p> <p>The Katz came back, they knew he was a grifter</p> <p>But the Katz came back, he was just going to sue away!</p> <p>Definitive version of that song here.”</p>				
92.	12/10/18	Third Period	<p>“~Oh, and just to <i>really</i> tie it all together, the Tim Rice/Alan Menken song in the Disney film <i>Aladdin</i>, ‘One Jump Ahead’, replaced an earlier number (not by Tim but by the late Howard Ashman) called ‘Proud of Your Boy’. ‘Proud of Your Boy’ is whence derives the name of the Proud Boys, lately in the news for one reason or another. The Proud Boys were founded by ‘CRTV Tonight’ host Gavin McInnes, who over the weekend departed <u>Blaze TV</u>, with which CRTV merged just a week ago. McInnes is the second CRTV personality to leave the new network, following Michelle Malkin, who exited on the very first day. Both departures are</p>	Mark Steyn	SteynOnline: Among the Cockwombles	Disparagement, paragraph 13 of the BTS Confidentiality (Compendium)	

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p>being blamed by the Internet on Blaze founder Glenn Beck, a NeverTrumper though not (as far as I'm aware) a cockwomble. Glenn Beck just said on the radio that <u>the McInnes firing was nothing to do with him.</u></p> <p>So after one week the new Blaze TV, the supposed one-stop shop for the full spectrum of conservative voices, seems to be going gangbusters. The most plausible explanation for Cary Katz, the owner of CRTV and a former Jim Jeffords donor, is that he's a put-up job by George Soros to destroy American conservative media from within. If so, he's doing a grand job.</p> <p>Is Katz a cockwomble? Well, he's a litigious cockwomble who <u>sues over everything.</u> On the other hand, if Max Boot is the first American in history ever to be called a cockwomble, it'd be kinda fun to be the first guy to be sued for calling someone a cockwomble - although, with my luck, 'cockwomble' will undoubtedly be the only epithet not covered by the First Amendment.</p> <p>But, upon reflection, the real cockwombles are those who still believe Katz. As I've noted before, when he broke my contract and sued me for ten million bucks, there were those who enjoyed seeing Katz screw me over - and, as I pointed out, the salient fact is not that he screwed Steyn over but that he's a guy who screws people over. Here's what I said on our <u>Clubland Q&A</u> back on May 1st about Katz and CRTV:</p>				

SUPPLEMENTAL CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES AND STATEMENTS AT ISSUE IN THIS PROCEEDING

	Date Published	Time Period ¹	Statement	Person who Published Statement	Place of Publication	Claim(s)	False Fact/Opinion implying defamatory facts ²
			<p><i>I knew he was a bum by then, but what's interesting, if you happen to be one of those CRTV hosts, if you happen to be Steven Crowder, or Gavin McInnes or Michelle Malkin or any of the other CRTV hosts, and you think you've got a pretty sweet deal with Cary Katz, just be aware that when he says he's not going to be bound by the confidential binding arbitration, this sleazy bum isn't saying that he's breaking <u>my</u> contract or that <u>my</u> contract's worthless, he's telling Michelle Malkin, Steven Crowder, Gavin McInnes, all these other guys at CRTV that <u>their</u> contracts are worthless.</i></p> <p>And here we are, six months later, and two-thirds of those specifically named CRTV hosts are out on their ear and their entire back catalogue vaporized at the new website. How long before Mark Levin realizes he's been comprehensively cockwombed?</p> <p>Ah, but nobody listens to me. Which brings me back to all those princes and prime ministers we came in with.</p> <p>Oh, and naturally Katz is currently suing me for the above remarks. (Statement 64 here.) If you give money to this man's businesses, you're bankrolling a guy who's destroying American conservatism. (PS Cary, that's political speech expressly covered by the First Amendment, so piss off.)"</p>				